

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-II
Rosera , District-Samastipur
A.B.P. No. 321-C of 2025
C.I.S. No. 321-C of 2025

In the matter of

Amarjeet Kumar.....Accused/ Petitioner.

VS.

State of Bihar..... Prosecution/O.P.

On behalf of the Complainant - Sri Shambhu Prasad Singh, Ld. Advocate.

On behalf of the petitioner- Sri Gayatri Kumari, Ld. Advocate.

ORDER

22.07.2026

The present anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely **Amarjeet Kumar** in connection with C.R. No. 14 of 2024 under Sections-341, 323, 498A of I.P.C. and 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act.

As per the Complaint Petition, the case of the complainant, in brief is as follows-

The complainant- Guriya Kumari married to Amarjeet Kumar on 12.12.2019. She went her sasural. Her husband and in-laws started demanding a Motory Cycle as dowry. When the demand was not met, she was harassed, assaulted and eventually driven out of the matrimonial house on 10.12.2023 forcing her to live with her parents. In the background of aforesaid incident, she filed a complaint case in the Court.

Ld. Counsel for the accused petitioner has submitted that no other bail application filed on behalf of this petitioner either in this court or before the A.D.J., Rosera or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna nor it is pending anywhere except this one. Petitioner has got no criminal history. The petitioner is innocent and committed no offence. The petitioner is ready to abide by the terms and conditions imposed by the learned court. There is no chance of his absconding or tempering with the evidence. Therefore, the bail may be granted to the petitioner.

On the other hand Ld. APP on behalf of state opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard both sides. On perusal of the record, it appears that the petitioner is the husband of the complainant. The instant case has been instituted on the basis of a complaint petition. It has been alleged that the complainant has been used to harass for want of two wheeler as dowry. There is no documentary evidence on the record which suggests the injury on her persons or assault with her. The complainant is physically present in the court-room. She said that she is not willing to go to her sasural and wants to be separated from her husband. On the other side, the petitioner is ready to keep her wife with honour and dignity. In such a situation, refusal of bail to the petitioner could not achieve greater objective for the well being of any side. Therefore, it is judicious to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner keeping in mind the facts and circumstances of the case.

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-II

Rosera , District-Samastipur

A.B.P. No. 321-C of 2025

C.I.S. No. 321-C of 2025

Continue
22.07.2026

Accordingly, **Amarjeet Kumar** is directed to release on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each within 04 weeks from the date of receipt of this order to the satisfaction of the ld. court below subject to the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of BNSS. One of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

Dictated

Sd/-

District & Addl. Sessions Judge -II
Rosera

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-II

Rosera , District-Samastipur

A.B.P. No. 321-C of 2025

C.I.S. No. 321-C of 2025

--	--