

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-II

Rosera , District-Samastipur

A.B.P. No. 238-C of 2026

C.I.S. No. 238-C of 2026

(Arising out of Rosera P.S. Case No. 133 of 2026)

In the matter of

Veena Devi @ Meena Devi & 6 Others.Accused/ Petitioner.

VS.

State of Bihar. Prosecution/O.P.

On behalf of the state/prosecution- Sri Ram Kumar, Ld. APP.

On behalf of the petitioner- Sri Dipendu Kumar Ray, Ld. Advocate.-

16.06.2026

ORDER

The present anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely **1. Veena Devi @ Meena Devi 2. Lakshaman Poddar 3. Niranjana Poddar 4. Pintu Kumar @ Pintu Poddar 5. Subham Poddar @ Subham Kumar Poddar 6. Vicky Poddar @ Suman Kumar Poddar 7. Raja Kumar** in connection with **Rosera P.S. Case No. 133 of 2026** under Sections- 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 352, 109, 329(4), 303(2), 74, 351(2) of B.N.S.

As per the F.I.R., the prosecution story, in brief, is as follows-

The informant, Vinod Poddar stated in his application that on 07.04.2026 at about 08:20 AM, all FIR named accused persons unlawfully blocked the government road leading to his house. When he protested, the accused allegedly abused him and assaulted him and also his family members. Further, they pelted stones at his house and forcibly entered the premises armed with lathis, iron-rods, and other weapons with the intention to cause serious injuries and kill them. Lakshaman Poddar allegedly struck his sister, Kalyani Kumari on the head with an iron rod, causing serious injuries and oozing out blood. Niranjana Poddar assaulted the son of informant by means of an iron-rod due to which he sustains injury on his head. They also snatched gold ornaments. He further stated that they had previously assaulted, abused and threatened them on several occasions and continue to issue threats to kill him and his family members. In the background of aforesaid incident, he filed a complaint case in the Court.

Ld. Counsel for the accused petitioner has submitted that no anticipatory or regular bail petition has ever been moved or pending or has been disposed of either by the Ld. Court of DASJ Rosera, or by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners. The petitioner Pintu Kumar @ Pintu Poddar was not present on the place of occurrence at the time and date of the occurrence. The petitioners are innocent and have committed no offences. The petitioners are ready to abide by the terms and conditions imposed by the court. There is no chance of his absconding or tempering with the evidence. Therefore, the anticipatory bail may be granted to the petitioners.

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-II

Rosera , District-Samastipur

A.B.P. No. 238-C of 2026

C.I.S. No. 238-C of 2026

(Arising out of Rosera P.S. Case No. 133 of 2026)

Continue 16.06.2026	On the other hand Ld. APP on behalf of state opposed the prayer for bail. Heard both sides. On perusal of the record, it appears that there are allegations of assault were levelled by the informant against the accused petitioners. Para 18 of case diary reveals that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. The injury reports Bhola Kumar, Shankar Kumar and Kalyani Kumari are described in Para 31, 32, 42 of the case diary respectively. An abrasion was found on the forehead of Bhola Kumar. An abrasion was also found over the left hand of Shankar Kumar. Aforesaid both the injuries were simple in nature and made by hard and blunt object. Injury report of Kalyani Kumari reveals that the fracture of anterior and posterior table of frontal sinus extending into the frontal bone associated with soft tissue swelling was found and this injury is grievous in nature. This injury may be fatal for the injured. There are direct and specific allegations of assault by means of iron-rod against the petitioners Lakshaman Poddar and Niranjana Poddar. Therefore, these two petitioners do not deserve the benefit of anticipatory bail. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition of Lakshaman Poddar and Niranjana Poddar stands rejected. So far as the case of remaining 05 petitioners are concerned, there is no direct and specific allegation of assault against them. Nothing incriminating was recovered from the possession of them. Therefore, the remaining 05 petitioners deserve anticipatory bail. Accordingly, Veena Devi @ Meena Devi, Pintu Kumar @ Pintu Poddar, Subham Poddar @ Subham Kumar Poddar, Vicky Poddar @ Suman Kumar Poddar, Raja Kumar are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each within 04 weeks from the date of receipt of this order to the satisfaction of the ld. court below subject to the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of BNSS. One of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioners. Dictated (Rajiv Kumar Mishra) District & Addl. Sessions Judge -II Rosera
--------------------------------------	--

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-II

Rosera , District-Samastipur

A.B.P. No. 238-C of 2026

C.I.S. No. 238-C of 2026

(Arising out of Rosera P.S. Case No. 133 of 2026)

--	--