

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III,

SAMASTIPUR

A.B.P NO-1435/2026

Town P.S. Case No.-33/2026

**Mani Ram @ Maniya @ Manish Kumar, S/o-Uday Ram @ Uday Prakash,
R/o-Mohalla-Kabir Ashram Samastipur, Station Chauk, Ward No.-23
(Amarpali Hotel Ke piche), P.S.-Samastipur Town, Distt.-Samastipur.**

Vrs.

The state of Bihar

Present:- For the petitioner– Sri Binod Kumar Singh, Id. Advocate.

For the state- Sri Shiv Shankar Prasad, Id. A.P.P

Present- Rahul Kumar

Addl. Sessions Judge-III,

Samastipur.

Order

03.07.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner **Mani Ram @ Maniya @ Manish Kumar** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Town P.S. Case No.-33/2026** registered u/sec.-109(1), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sec.27 of Arms Act. Heard both the sides on anticipatory bail petition.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that no other bail application except this is pending before any other court and he has further submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to local politics. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that there is land dispute between informant and father of the petitioner. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that from perusal of FIR it will be clear that is no allegation to hit by pistol on the injured Shubham

Continued.

03.07.2026 Singh or informant. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioner was not present on the spot, but due to land dispute informant implicated the petitioner in this case with false allegation. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioner has got eleven criminal antecedents. Accordingly, the Id. Counsel has prayed to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, the Ld. A.P.P for the state has opposed the prayer of the bail. The Id. APP has further submitted that there is sufficient material available in the case diary to support the allegation against the petitioner. Accordingly, it has been prayed that the bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner may be rejected.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record and case diary. From perusal of case record, it appears that in this case the F.I.R. has been lodged in connection with **Town P.S. Case No.-33/2026** registered u/sec.-109(1), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sec.27 of Arms Act. The prosecution story in brief as per F.I.R. is that on 17.02.2026 at about 9:30PM, while the informant was returning home with his associate Shubham Singh @ Ishu in his Tata Safari Vehicle, a white car and two motorcycles carrying 8-10 armed criminals arrived and attacked them with the intention to kill. Shatrughan Rai fired at Shubham Singh which hit his chest and he got injured and fell down and he also fired at the informant but the bullet passed touching his left ear. Thereafter, other co-accused persons along with 5-7 unknown persons started indiscriminate firing, he somehow escaped towards a cinema hall to save his life. On hearing the firing, local people gathered, after which the criminal fled away. Shubham Singh was taken to hospital for the treatment. Earlier firing had also taken place at his residence in which his cousin Ajitesh Kumar was murdered.

There is direct allegation against the petitioner to fire gunshot upon Shubham Singh @ Ishu and injury report of the case diary shows that there is firearm (gunshot) injury involving shoulder and thorax with retained bullet and the injury is

Continued.

03.07.2026

grievous in nature which is potentially life threatening injuries. Para-97 of the case diary shows that the petitioner has got eight criminal antecedents. Further, the matter of this case is still under investigation.

Therefore, considering the abovesaid facts and circumstances, submissions made, materials available on the record and gravity of offence, firearms injury, large number of criminal antecedents, this court is not inclined to grant the privilege of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the bail petition filed on behalf of the above said petitioner is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

(Rahul Kumar)
A.S.J-III,
Samastipur.
Dated- 03.07.2026

Date of Order	03.07.2026
Date of Reserving Order	NIL
Uploading Date	06-07-2026
Uploaded By	Siddhant Kumar