

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III,

SAMASTIPUR

B.P NO-673/2026

Musrigharari P.S. Case No.-59/2025

- 1. Surendra Prasad Singh, S/o-Late Parmeshwar Singh,**
- 2. Sita Devi, W/o- Surendra Prasad Singh, R/o-Vill-Fatehpur Wala, P.S.-
Musrigharari, Distt.-Samastipur**

Vrs.

The state of Bihar

Present:-For the petitioners – Sri Kumar Ravishankar, Id. Advocate.

For the state- Sri Shiv Shankar Prasad, Id. A.P.P

Present- Rahul Kumar

Addl. Sessions Judge-III,

Samastipur.

Order

30.06.2026

This bail petition has been filed on behalf of the custody accused petitioners **1. Surendra Prasad Singh, 2. Sita Devi** who are in custody since 11.05.2026 in connection with **Musrigharari P.S. Case No.-59/2025** registered u/sec.-80(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sec.-3, 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act 1961. Heard both the sides on bail petition.

The Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that no other bail application except this is pending before any other court and he has further submitted that petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case out of malice, enmity and grudge. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that petitioners are separate in mess and business with the deceased and her husband. The Id.

Continued.

30.06.2026 Counsel has further submitted that the petitioners have not demanded any dowry nor they tortured the victim/deceased. The ld. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased and have been falsely implicated in the present case. The ld. counsel has further submitted that anticipatory bail of the petitioners was rejected on 05.08.2025 by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna vide Cri. Misc. No.-75889/2025. The ld. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioners have got no any criminal antecedents. It has also been submitted that other co-accused persons Rahul Kumar and Dipa Kumari vide Cri. Misc. No.-69006 of 2025 by Hon'ble Patna High Court and the matter of petitioners stands on same footing. Accordingly, the ld. Counsel has prayed to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail and has submitted that there is sufficient material available in the case diary to support the allegations against the petitioners. Accordingly, it has been prayed that the bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners may be rejected.

Heard and perused the original case record with case diary.

From perusal of case record it appears that in this case F.I.R. has been lodged in connection with **Musrigharari P.S. Case No.-59/2025** registered u/sec.-80(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sec.-3, 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act 1961. The prosecution story in brief as per F.I.R. is that after marriage and few months later the accused persons started demanding Rs 5 lakhs from the informant's daughter and coercing her and due to non-fulfillment of the same all the accused persons used to abuse her verbally, physically and mentally. On 10.05.2025 at around 10:00 AM, the informant received an information on phone that above mentioned persons named in FIR have killed her daughter. On this information, the informant went her sasural and found that her daughter was hanging with her dupatta in her room.

Continued.

30.06.2026

It also appears that petitioners were living with the deceased in the same house. Witnesses in the course of investigation have supported the version of prosecution and has also stated that deceased was being tortured for demand of dowry. Postmortem report shows that full strangulation mark on ground neck, back of neck having black mark and cervical bone fracture, no tongue protrusion, no eye protrusion and cause of death is cardio-respiratory arrest due to strangulation. The marriage is said to be solemnized in year 2024. Record shows suspicious death of deceased who is said to be married with son of the petitioners.

So far as, granting bail of other accused persons as stated above by the Hon'ble court, this court finds that the case of the petitioners do not stand on same footing as those petitioners were not living with the deceased and they are nanad and nandosi as observed by the Hon'ble Court.

Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances, submissions made by the parties, materials available on the record and gravity of offence, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. Accordingly, the bail petition filed on behalf of the above named petitioners is hereby **rejected**.

Let copy of this order be communicated/sent to Id. Trial Court and be uploaded on web portal. Let Trial Court record, if any, be returned.

Dictated

(Rahul Kumar)
A.S.J.-III,
Samastipur.
Dated- 30.06.2026

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-III, Samastipur.
P.O.-Rahul Kumar
BP No-673 of 2026
Musrigharari P.S. Case No.-59/2025
Surendra Prasad Singh and others Vs. The State of Bihar
Order dated- 30.06.2025

Date of Order	30.06.2026
Date of Reserving Order	NIL
Uploading Date	
Uploaded By	Siddhant Kumar