

In the Court of Principal District & Sessions Judge, Samastipur

B.P. No. 618 of 2026

(Arising out of Khanpur P.S. Case No. 68 of 2026)

Lalit Jha, S/o – Sita Ram Jha

R/o- Vill – Rajwara, P.S. Khanpur, Distt – Samastipur

...Petitioner

Vrs.

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party

Presiding Officer – Sri Samir Kumar, Sessions Judge, Samastipur

=====

04.06.2026 Heard Sri. Saurabh Kumar Jha, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sri Shiv Kumar Prasad, learned P.P for the State on the bail petition of accused/petitioner named above, who is in custody since 06.05.2026 in connection with Khanpur P.S. Case No. 68 of 2026 registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 324(4), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

As per F.I.R, on 09.03.2026 at about 10:20 P.M the informant was sleeping at his door, in the meantime, co-accused Sonu Kumar Jha, Jitan Jha, Tilkeshwar Jha, Bablu Jha and petitioner Lalit Jha and 4-5 unknown persons came there with Iron rod, Dabiya and Lathi and they started abusing to informant and when the informant forbade them thereafter, the accused persons asked the informant that land over which house is constructed is of them. It is also alleged that on the order

of co-accused Tilkeshwar Jha all the accused persons entered in the house of informant and they started demolishing the house hold articles and when the informant protested thereafter, co-accused Sonu Kumar Jha with an intention to kill hit with iron rod on his head, causing injury and in course of it co-accused Jitan Jha attacked with Dabiya on his neck which hit on his left hand finger, causing cut injury. It is further alleged that co-accused Bablu Jha and petitioner Lalit Jha put rope around the neck of informant and started dragging, causing suffocation and in course of it co-accused Tilkeshwar Jha took golden Hanumani from the neck of informant and fled away.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that petitioner is an innocent person and he has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to land dispute. Further submitted that ABP No. 1198/2026 of this petitioner was withdrawn. Further submitted that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. Further submitted that all the Sections are bailable in nature except Section 109(1) and 303(2) BNS which are not attracted against the petitioner. Ld. Counsel lastly submitted that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 06.05.2026 so, petitioner may be released on bail.

The Ld. P.P has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail.

On perusal of the record it appears that FIR has been registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 324(4), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of

the B.N.S. In para – 02 of the case diary in re-statement of the informant and in para – 7, 8, 17 and 18 of the case diary it has come out that occurrence took place due to previous land dispute. Further FIR has been lodged by the informant against the petitioner after delay of 24 days. Further petitioner claims to have clean antecedent and he is in custody since 06.05.2026 i.e about one month.

Under the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, as well as period of detention the above named accused/petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sessions Judge

Date of Judgment / Order	04.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment / Order	04.06.2026
Uploading Date	06.06.2026
Uploaded by	Ashish Kumar