

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III,

SAMASTIPUR

B.P NO-589/2026

Karpurigram P.S. Case No.-36/2026

**Prashant Kumar @ Palo, S/o-Manoranjan Singh, R/o-Vill-Adharpur @
Chak Nizamat, Ward no.-11, P.S.-Karpurigram, Distt.-Samastipur**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar

Present:- For the petitioner – Sri Vishwanath Ray, Ld. Advocate.

For the state- Sri Shiv Shankar Prasad, Id. A.P.P

Present- Rahul Kumar

Addl. Sessions Judge-III,

Samastipur.

Order

04.06.2026

This bail petition has been filed on behalf of the custody accused petitioner **Prashant Kumar @ Palo** who is in custody since 27.04.2026 in connection with **Karpurigram P.S. Case No.-36/2026** registered u/s.-126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 308(2) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sec.27 of Arms Act.

Heard both the sides on bail petition.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that no other bail application except this is pending before any other court and he has further submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to malice, enmity and grudge. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioner and informant both are neighbors and co-villagers. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that nothing incriminating material has been recovered from the

Continued.

04.06.2026 conscious possession of the petitioner. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioner has got one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail. Accordingly, the Id. Counsel has prayed to grant the privilege of bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, the Ld. A.P.P for the state has opposed the prayer of the bail. The Id. APP has further submitted that there is sufficient material available in the case diary to support the allegation against the petitioner. Accordingly, it has been prayed that the bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner may be rejected.

Heard and perused the case record with case diary.

From Perusal of Case record it appears that the F.I.R. has been lodged in connection with **Karpurigram P.S. Case No.-36/2026** registered u/s.-126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 308(2) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sec.27 of Arms Act. The prosecution story in brief as per F.I.R. is that on 13.03.2026, the petitioner pointed a pistol at informant's grandson and fired but the bullet only grazed head, saving his life. The petitioner also allegedly threatened and assaulted the victims. It was also alleged that the petitioner had previously demanded money from him and threatened to kill him if he refused.

Prosecution has not produced any injury report. However, it has been submitted that victim was not given any medical treatment.

Victim Avinash Kumar in his statement in course of investigation has stated that petitioner placed the pistol just before his right ear side and fired. He has also stated that no any medical treatment was given to him by any doctor. However, he took medicine as suggested by local doctor. Moreover, the accused petitioner is in custody since 27.04.2026.

Therefore, considering facts and circumstances of the case and manner of firing and non-availability of injury report, this court is inclined to grant the

Continued.

04.06.2026 privilege of bail to the petitioner named above. Accordingly, the bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner is hereby **allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Id. Trial Court subject to condition laid down under section 480(3)a, b, c of BNSS.

Let copy of this order be communicated/sent to Id. Trial Court and be uploaded on web portal. Let Trial Court record, if any, be returned.

Dictated

(Rahul Kumar)
A.S.J.-III,
Samastipur.
Dated.-04.06.2026

Date of Order	04.06.2026
Date of Reserving Order	NIL
Uploading Date	11-06-2026
Uploaded By	Siddhant Kumar