

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III,

SAMASTIPUR

A.B.P NO-1203/2026

Cyber P.S. Case No.-22/2025

**Md. Akhtar, S/o- Md. Murtuja, R/o-Vill-Darhiya Belar ward-13, P.S.-
Karpurigram, Distt.-Samastipur**

Vs

The state of Bihar

Present:- For the petitioner – Sri Sikandar Kumar, Id. Advocate.

For the state- Sri Shiv Shankar Prasad, Id. A.P.P

Present- Rahul Kumar

Addl. Sessions Judge-III,

Samastipur.

Order

02.07.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner **Md. Akhtar** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Kalyanpur P.S. Case No.-44/2026** registered u/s.- **Cyber P.S. Case-22/2025** registered u/s.-299, 302, 196, 352, 353 of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sec. 67, 67-A of IT Act.

Heard both the sides on anticipatory bail petition.

The Id. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that no other bail application except this is pending before any other court and he has further submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to local politics. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that at the time of festival, some other person have made rumor about the religious matter but the petitioner and others are well citizen have smash this rumor. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that only to implicate the petitioner in this case, some disturbing elements of society have sent their name

Continued.

02.07.2026 to the police to make him accused. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioner neither used any offensive word nor act against the religion and religious matter. The Id. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioner has got no any criminal antecedent. Accordingly, the Id. Counsel has prayed to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, the Ld. A.P.P for the state has opposed the prayer of the bail. The Id. APP has further submitted that there is sufficient material available in the case diary to support the allegation against the petitioner. Accordingly, it has been prayed that the bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner may be rejected.

Heard and perused the record with case diary.

From Perusal of Case record it appears that the F.I.R. has been lodged in connection with **Cyber P.S. Case-22/2025** registered u/s.-299, 302, 196, 352, 353 of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sec. 67, 67-A of IT Act. The prosecution story in brief as per F.I.R. is that on 30.03.2025 at about 8:15 AM, while the informant present at India Belaur Chowk, local people showed him a video that was rapidly being circulated through Whatsapp. After watching the video, it was found that the person in the video was Md. Akhtar(petitioner). It appeared that, in relation to the incident dated. 28.03.2025, with the intention of provoking the Hindu Community and disturbing communal harmony between Hindus and Muslims, the said person uploaded and made the video viral through his Facebook ID. The video was subsequently being shared further by other people through Facebook and Whatsapp, thereby spreading the content widely.

The allegation against the petitioner is to disturb communal harmony through social media which may have effect to society at large and the investigation of the case is in its initial stage.

Continued.

02.07.2026

Therefore, considering the above said facts and circumstance of the case, nature of allegation, the court is of the view that this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

(Rahul Kumar)
A.S.J.-III,
Samastipur.
Dated-02.07.2026

Date of Order	02.07.2026
Date of Reserving Order	NIL
Uploading Date	04-07-2026
Uploaded By	Siddhant Kumar