

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III,

SAMASTIPUR

A.B.P NO-1146/2026

Complaint Case No-2195 of 2024

- 1. Vashishtanarayan Singh, S/o- Late Ramiqbal Singh,**
- 2. Radha Sinha, W/o- Vashishtanarayan Singh,**
- 3. Kumar Bimal Prabhat @ Bimal Prabhat Singh @ Munna Ji,**
- 4. Kumar Binod Prabhat,**
- (Both are sons of Vashishtanarayan Singh),**
- 5. Sunidhi Singh @ Sumati Singh, W/o-Bimal Prabhat Singh @ Munna Ji,**
- (All are R/o-Bajrangpuri, P.S.-Alamganj, Distt.-Patna)**

Vrs.

The state of Bihar

Present: For the petitioners- Sri Braj Kishore Singh Chauhan,

Id. Advocate.

For the State- Sri Shiv Shankar Prasad, Id. A.P.P.

Present- Rahul Kumar,

Addl. Sessions Judge-III,

Samastipur.

Order

04.07.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners **1. Vashishtanarayan Singh, 2. Radha Sinha, 3. Kumar Bimal Prabhat @ Bimal Prabhat Singh @ Munna Ji, 4. Kumar Binod Prabhat, 5. Sunidhi Singh @ Sumati Singh,** who are apprehending their arrest in

Continued.

04.07.2026 connection with **Complaint Case No-2195 of 2024** registered u/s -85 of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sec.-4 of D.P Act.

Heard both the sides on anticipatory bail petition.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that no other bail application except this is pending before any other court and he has further submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The Ld. Counsel has further submitted that the prosecution story is false, concocted and baseless. The Ld. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioners are in-laws of the complainant and they are living separately from the complainant and her husband and they have never demanded dowry and made any cruelty against the complainant. The Ld. Counsel has further submitted that the petitioners have got no any criminal history. Accordingly, the Ld. Counsel has prayed that the petitioners may be enlarged on bail.

On the other hand the Ld. APP for state opposed the prayer of bail and has submitted that the petitioners are in-laws of the complainant and there is serious allegation of harassment against the petitioners. Ld. Counsel for complainant submitted that accused persons in prosecution of their common intention have demanded the dowry and tortured the complainant in various way. Accordingly, jointly it has been prayed that the bail petition filed on behalf of petitioners may be rejected.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record.

From perusal of case record it appears that this case is based on the complaint petition filed by the complainant Bandana Kumari and after enquiry the Court of Id. S.D.J.M., Samastipur directed to issue summon

Continued.

04.07.2026 against accused petitioners and others to face trial for the offences punishable u/sec. 85 of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sec.4 of D.P Act . It has been alleged that marriage between Kumar Biresh Prabhat @ Guddu Ji and complainant was solemnized in year 2019 but after that petitioners started demanding dowry and also was subjected to cruelty. It is further alleged that the petitioners along with other accused persons remained complainant in starvation and lastly on 22.04.2023, complainant was thrown out from matrimonial house.

On Perusal of record it also appears that there is no evidence of actual physical assault on the record and allegations are general, omnibus and composite in nature. Petitioners are in-laws of the complainant. Marriage is said to be solemnized about seven years ago. The alleged offences are punishable with less than 7 years and the present case is fully covered by the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in the matter titled as Arnesh Kumar Vs State of Bihar 2014, 8, SCC, 273, Satendra Kumar Antil Vs CBI 2021(10) SCC 773 and by Hon'ble Patna High Court in the matter of **Aashik Kumar Sah @ Ashik Kumar Sah Vs State of Bihar (Criminal Miscellaneous no.-63385 of 2025)**.

Thus, Considering the above facts and circumstances, nature of offence as well as the general and omnibus allegations against the petitioners who are in-laws of the complainant, this court deem it fit and proper to enlarge the petitioners on the privilege of anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Id. Trial Court within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 with two sureties

Continued.

04.07.2026 of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Id. Trial Court subject to the condition laid down under sec.482(2) of BNSS. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above said petitioners is hereby **allowed.**

Dictated

(Rahul Kumar)
A.S.J.-III,
Samastipur.
Dated.-04.07.2026