

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA
Dated, Saharsa, the 21st July 2026

Present:- Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa
A.B.P. No. 730/2026 (Arising out of Complaint Case No. 754c/2025)

Rohit Bhagat **Petitioner Vs State of Bihar & Anr**

In the matter of :-

Rohit Bhagat S/o Siyaram Bhagat

Resident of Village Govardhanpur, P.S. Lodipur, District Bhagalpur.

....**Petitioner**

Vs

The State of Bihar & Anr

.....**Opposite Party**

Appearance :-

For the Petitioner :- Sri Birendra Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the State :- Sri Krishna Murari Prasad, Ld. P.P.

Sl. No.	Date of proceeding	Order with the signature of the court	Office action taken with date
	21.07.2026	This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Rohit Bhagat S/o Siyaram Bhagat who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 754c/2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 85 of BNS, 2023. 2. Heard the arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State. 3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the accused petitioner that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner bears no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that the alleged sections are added to make the case graver and non-bailable. It is further submitted that petitioner is husband of the complainant and neither the petitioner has tortured the complainant nor demanded dowry from her. It is further submitted that petitioner has no manner of concern with the alleged incident. It is further submitted that petitioner is ready to keep the complainant with full honour and dignity. It is further submitted that petitioner is a man of means and there is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the evidence in this case and he is ready to abide all the terms and conditions laid down by the court and he is also ready to furnish sound sureties to the full satisfaction of the learned court. Upon these grounds, the learned counsel prays to grant	

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 21st July 2026

Present:- Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

A.B.P. No. 730/2026 (Arising out of Complaint Case No. 754c/2025)

Rohit Bhagat

..... Petitioner Vs State of Bihar & Anr

<u>Cont/2-</u> <u>21.07.2026</u>	anticipatory bail to the petitioner. 4. On the other hand, the learned P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail. 5. Rival submissions considered, Record perused. 6. The case of complainant in short, as per the complaint petition is that her marriage was solemnized with Rohit Bhagat on 23.06.2026 as per Hindu Rites and Rituals in presence of relatives of both sides. As per his capacity the father of complainant has given jewelries and household articles worth Rs. 15 Lakhs to her husband. It is further alleged that the accused persons tortured the complainant for demand of Rs. 18 Lakhs as dowry and regularly taunted her due to non-fulfillment of the said demand. After sometime she became pregnant but the accused persons aborted her two months pregnancy. The accused persons brutally assaulted her and did not provide food to her. The father complainant tried to pacify the matter convening panchayti but the accused persons are not ready to keep her daughter without fulfillment of the dowry demand. On 25.04.2025 the accused persons knocked down her on the ground by catching hairs and the accused no. 4 started pressing her neck on the order of accused no. 3. On 26.04.2025 the accused persons started beating her by lathi and danda after locking the door and accused no. 3 assaulted her by knife due to which she sustained injury in her hand and blood started oozing. Thereafter the accused persons drove her from the matrimonial house after snatching all her jewelries and articles. 7. The complaint case has been lodged under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 85, 126(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act against seven accused persons. The learned trial court has taken cognizance against the accused under Sections 115(2) and 85 of BNS on the basis of S.A. of complainant as well as statement of inquiry witnesses. 8. The trial court has taken cognizance of the offence under Sections 115(2), 85 of BNS, 2023. The learned trial court has issued bailable warrant against the petitioner. The maximum imprisonment which can be awarded in the	
-------------------------------------	--	--

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 21st July 2026

Present:- Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

A.B.P. No. 730/2026 (Arising out of Complaint Case No. 754c/2025)

Rohit Bhagat

..... **Petitioner Vs State of Bihar & Anr**

Cont/3-
21.07.2026

instant case is three years. However, it is stated at the bar that the learned Magistrate generally do not grant bail to the husband when they appear before the learned trial court in cases registered under Section 85 of BNS. The offences are triable by the learned Magistrate and the case is based on complaint as such no question arises for the custodial interrogation of the petitioner. The purpose of bail is to secure the presence of the accused to face trial.

9. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner **Rohit Bhagat** is **allowed** and in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today, he is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned jurisdictional Magistrate where the case is presently pending, further subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of BNSS. Further condition is that the petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall remain duly represented on each and every date of hearing and shall appear in person as and when directed to do so by the learned trial court failing which the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail of the petitioner. The petitioner shall not influence the witness or tamper with the evidence.

10. With the above observation the petition stands disposed of.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Dinesh Sharma)

Principal Sessions Judge

21.07.2026

Date of Judgement/Order	21.07.2026
Date of Reserving Judgement/Order	20.07.2026
Uploading Date	25.07.2026
Uploaded by	Sachin Kumar