

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, SAHARSA

A.B.A. NO. 610/2026

Bakhtiyarpur P.S. case no. 220/2025

Sindu Kumari @ Sindhu Kumari**Petitioner**
versus

The State of Bihar

Order

14.07.2026

1. Anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely **1. Sindu Kumari @ Sindhu Kumari**, who apprehends her arrest in connection with **Bakhtiyarpur P.S Case No. 220/2025** registered u/s 316(2), 318(4), 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 76, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of BNS.

2. The prosecution story in brief as per informant Sanjay Sah is that due to land dispute on 13.07.2025, the petitioner along with other FIR named accused lashed with lathi, danda, abused the informant and caused head injury to the informant.

3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Ld. APP for the state.

4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case and except taking away the earring, there is no other allegation against the petitioner in the FIR.

5. He further submits that there is a **case and cross case** between the parties and the petitioner side, who is the informant of cross case bearing Bakhtiyarpur P.S. case no. 231/2025, shall not oppose the bail of accused of cross case and an undertaking in this regard has been filed by Savitri Devi, who is the informant of said cross case.

5. Ld. APP vehemently opposes the bail of the petitioner stating that in case diary specific allegation has come against the

contd..

14.07.2026

petitioner, to which Ld. Counsel for the defence submits that the allegations in the case diary are afterthought because except taking away earring, there is no allegation in the FIR.

6. Considering the land dispute **AND** case and cross case between the parties **AND** filling of an undertaking to the effect that the petitioner side shall not oppose the bail of the informant side of this case in cross case **AND** petitioner being a lady, the prayer for anticipatory bail of accused/petitioner namely **1. Sindu Kumari @ Sindhu Kumari is allowed** and she shall be **released on bail** in the event of her arrest or surrender **within four weeks** before the concern trial court with two sureties of Rs. 10000/- of like amount.

7. Ld. Counsel for the accused/petitioner submits that petitioner is willing and would be loving to do some social work therefore she is asked to **plant 100 fruit bearing tress or trees like Baniyana, Neem or Pipal (encouraging the Bihar Government Scheme 'JAL JEEVAN HARYALI')** in the public place such as Temple, school etc and shall **take care** of those tress **for next six months** and file the **photographs** of planting of those trees in the court concerned and obtain a certificate from the **Mukhiya or Sarpanch or Ward Counselor** of her area after plantation of these trees and shall file the same within one month and also shall take care for 6 months and shall file a certificate of care in the court concern.

Accordingly the bail petition is disposed off.

Dictated

Sd/-

Avinash Kumar I

Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-IV
Saharsa

Court- District Addl. Sessions Judge-IV
Present-Sri Avinash Kumar-I
A.B.A.-610/2026
Sindu Kumari @ Sindhu Kumari Vs. State of Bihar