

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, SAHARSA

A.B.A. NO. 509/2026

Saharsa Sadar P.S. case no. 167/2026

Deji Jha

.....Petitioner

versus

The State of Bihar

Order

04.07.2026

1. Anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely **1. Deji Jha**, who apprehends her arrest in connection with **Saharsa Sadar P.S Case No. 167/2026** registered under sections 316(2), 316(5), 318(4), 61(2), 3(5) of BNS.

2. The prosecution story in brief as per informant is that Saharsa Municipal Corporation, forwarded the complaint of Rahul Kumar Paswan to the Saharsa Sadar Police Station for lodging of an FIR stating therein that Rahul Kumar Paswan has made a complaint regarding Rajeev Kumar, who is private secretary of Councilor of Saharsa Municipal Corporation, alleging that said Rajeev Kumar has constituted a company **Nari Shakti Infotech and Development Service Private Limited** in the name of his wife i.e. the present petitioner entered into consortium with other company and embezzled crores of Government money.

On the basis of the complaint of said Rahul Kumar Paswan and his allegation therein, a three member committee was constituted, which submitted its report and on the basis of the said report the present FIR got lodged.

3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Ld. APP for the state.

4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR has been lodged on the basis of 3 member committee report but this committee was dissolved by the order of District Magistrate, Saharsa vide Letter No. 1440 dated 03.07.2025 and a 4 member Committee was constituted for further inquiry in the allegation of said Rahul Kumar Paswan.

5. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that since the basis of the present FIR is the report of 3 member committee, which itself has

Contd..

04.07.2026

been dissolved and scrapped by the D.M. Saharsa, therefore the present FIR has no legality.

6. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further relies upon the letter no. 2877 dated 13.11.2025, by which **the Municipal Commissioner has sent a report to the Additional Collector stating that non of the allegation made by Rahul Kumar Paswan was found true.**

7. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner company had entered into Service Agreement with Municipal Corporation on 15.02.2025, while the allegation of embezzlement of fund is with regard to 2020 to 2025 and the petitioner company has received the payment only once for Rs. 18,75,774/- by the Municipal Corporation after being verified by the Municipal Manager and that also for the work of 15 days.

8. Ld. APP opposes the bail and relies upon the report of 3 member committee dated 09.06.2025, in which it has been mentioned that the company of the petitioner was made a payment of said Rs. 18,75,774/-, to which the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the 3 member committee report has no legality as the same has been scrapped by the order of D.M. Saharsa.

9. This court, taking into consideration the rival submission of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Ld. APP, and considering that the petitioner is a **lady having clean antecedent**, the prayer for anticipatory bail of accused/petitioner Deji Jha is **allowed** and she shall be **released on bail** in the event of her arrest **or** surrender **within four weeks** before the concern trial court with two sureties of Rs. 10000/- of like amount, subject to the condition that petitioner shall **submit/surrender her passport** with IO, if any and shall not leave the country without permission of this court and at the same time **she will report to the I.O. every week** keeping the investigation agency well informed about her whereabouts and whenever she will go outside Saharsa, she will inform the I.O. and will cooperate with the investigating

Contd..

04.07.2026

agency and will appear before investigating agency as and when called by IO.

10. It is also being made clear here in that if investigating agency obtains or collects cleanching evidence against the petitioner regarding her involvement in the alleged embezzlement of fund, then IO or prosecution shall be at liberty to apply for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

11. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that accused/petitioner does and doing many social work and is willing and would be loving to do some social work and community service for Saharsa Advocate Association and Ld. Counsel for the petitioner being the member of said Saharsa Bar Association, offers to do **painting work of all the buildings of Vakalatkhana of Saharsa Bar** from inside and outside, both. Therefore, she is asked to paint all the buildings of Vakalatkhana of Saharsa Bar Association inside and outside **within 3 months** of this order and after completion, she will file the compliance report to the court concern.

12. At this stage counsel for the petitioner submits that Senior member **Lalan Kishor Jha**, is present in the court and suggests that he should be made a supervising authority, who will monitor the paint work of all the building of the Vakalatkhana and Senior Advocate Mr. Lalan Kishor Jha accepts the proposal happily and tender his service for the welfare of the Bar and therefore it is directed that all the parties concern will cooperate in painting work to be conducted under the Supervision and Chairmanship of Senior Advocate Sri. Lalan Kishor Jha.

Let the copy of this order be sent to Saharsa Bar Association for needful.

According the bail petition is disposed of.

Dictated
Sd/-

Avinash Kumar I
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-IV
Saharsa

Court- District Addl. Sessions Judge-IV
Present-Sri Avinash Kumar-I
A.B.A.-509/2026
Deji Jha Vs. State of Bihar