

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 15th May, 2026

Present:- Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

B.A. No. 231/2026 (Arising out of Patarghat P.S. Case No. 28/2026)

Ranjeet Sharma

..... Petitioner Vs State of Bihar

In the matter of :-

Ranjeet Sharma S/o Late Gunilal Sharma

Resident of Village Jamhra, P.O. Jamhra, P.S. Patarghat, District Saharsa.

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Appearance :-

For the Petitioner :- Sri Akhilesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

For the State :- Sri Krishna Murari Prasad, Ld. P.P.

Sl. No.	Date of proceeding	Order with the signature of the court	Office action taken with date
	15.05.2026	This bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Ranjeet Sharma S/o Late Gunilal Sharma who is in custody since 10.03.2026 in connection with Patarghat P.S. Case No. 28/2026 registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 127(2), 140(2), 324(4), 109, 308(5), 351(2), 352, 3(5), 303(2) of BNS. 2. Heard the arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State. 3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the accused petitioner that petitioner is in custody since 10.03.2026. It is further submitted that petitioner bears no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to grudge, malice and dirty village party politics. It is further submitted that sections 303(2), 308(2), 108, 140(2) of BNS, 2023 are added to make the case graver and non-bailable. It is further submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties. It is further submitted that petitioner is a man of means and there is no chance of his	

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 15th May, 2026

Present:- Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

B.A. No. 231/2026 (Arising out of Patarghat P.S. Case No. 28/2026)

Ranjeet Sharma

..... Petitioner Vs State of Bihar

Cont/2-
15.05.2026

absconding or tampering with the evidence and he is ready to furnish sound sureties to the full satisfaction of the court. Upon these grounds, the learned counsel prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. On the other hand, the learned P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail.

5. Rival submissions considered, Record perused.

6. The prosecution case in nut-shell as per typed report of informant Vikash Kumar is that on 07.02.2026 at about 09:00 P.M. in the night when he was going from pastpar to his house Jamhara Ward No. 4 in his tempo bearing Regd No. BR43GA9528 loaded with cold drinks and maza and when he reached at Jamhara Ward No. 1 then the local villagers were dancing in the music of DJ on the road. Despite being horn by him they came in front of his tempo and dancing and also they refused to leave. Suddenly a truck came from the opposite direction and while giving way to the truck his tempo went out of control and collided with the dancing villagers on the road. Meanwhile at the villagers gathered around him Ranjit Sharma, Shyam Sundar Sharma, Kishori Sharma, Ramchandra Sharma, Arun Sharma and Sintu Kumar alongwith 100 unknown started assaulting him and robbed the articles kept in his tempo. During assault the accused persons also snatched his mobile alongwith Rs. 20,000/- and gold chain and also kept him locked in a room all night starving and thirsty. Thereafter the aforementioned individuals were requested to inform his family they informed them and told that they would release him only if they paid Rs. 5 Lakh and if the police became aware of this they would kill him and dispose his body. On

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 15th May, 2026

Present:- Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

B.A. No. 231/2026 (Arising out of Patarghat P.S. Case No. 28/2026)

Ranjeet Sharma

..... Petitioner Vs State of Bihar

<u>Cont/3-</u> <u>15.05.2026</u>	08.02.2026 when his wife and his family learnt about the incident his wife and family members went to the house of Ranjit Sharma. On which Ranjit Sharma, Shyam Sundar Sharma and his family members assaulted and demanded cash of Rs. 5 Lakhs for releasing him. As soon as his wife and his family members left from there then Ranjit Sharma blindfolded him and took him to someone's else house, locked him and assaulted with belt, rolling pins and rods by all the accused persons. On 09.02.2026 at about 11:00 O'clock police came from Patarghat P.S. and opened the locked room and asked his name and address and began taking him away the accused persons alongwith 100 unknown persons pulled him and again started assaulting him by lathi and danda. When Patarghat P.S. tried to rescue him the accused persons also assaulted the police team by lathi and danda with intention of killing. Sintu Kumar assaulted him by lathi which was saved by him in his hand and Bhanu Sada assaulted on his head due to which he sustained injury and his head got lacerated. With the help of police team he was treated at PHC, Patarghat. His tempo was also kept by the accused persons and the said tempo was completely damaged by them. 7. The petitioner is named in FIR. The allegation against the petitioner are corroborated from the statement of the S.H.O., Patarghat which is at para no. 4 of the case diary. The petitioner has kept confined the informant of this case. The petitioner also assaulted the police team when the SHO Patarghat alongwith his team tried to got release the informant, the police team was assaulted. PW Nandan Kumar, PSI has also corroborated the version of the SHO and the informant. The allegations are very serious in	
-------------------------------------	--	--

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 15th May, 2026

Present:- Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

B.A. No. 231/2026 (Arising out of Patarghat P.S. Case No. 28/2026)

Ranjeet Sharma

..... Petitioner Vs State of Bihar

Cont/4-
15.05.2026

nature. The petitioner not only kept the informant in illegal confinement and demanded money for his release but also allegedly assaulted the police team when the police team went to rescue the informant. The investigation is still going on.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, no case is made out to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Resultantly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner Ranjeet Sharma is hereby **rejected**. However, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after filing of charge-sheet, if he wish to do so.

9. With the aforesaid observation, the bail petition stands disposed of.

(Dictated)

Dinesh Sharma
(Dinesh Sharma)

Principal Sessions Judge,
Saharsa

15.05.2026

Date of Judgement/Order	15.05.2026
Date of Reserving Judgement/Order	15.05.2026
Uploading Date	18-05-26
Uploaded by	Saved Haider