

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 24th April, 2026

Present:- Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

A.B.P. No. 323/2026 (Arising out of Complaint Case No. 1108c/2024)

Md. Zeeshan

..... Petitioner Vs State of Bihar

In the matter of :-

Md. Zeeshan S/o Late Abdul Hannan

Resident of Village Sankanhai, Ward No. 11, P.S. Ghanshyampur, District

Darbhanga (Bihar)

....Petitioner

Vs

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Appearance :-

For the Petitioner :- Sri Braj Kishor Sharma, Advocate

For the State :- Sri Krishna Murari Prasad, Ld. P.P.

Sl. No.	Date of proceeding	Order with the signature of the court	Office action taken with date
	24.04.2026	This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Md. Zeeshan S/o Late Abdul Hannan who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1108c/2024 registered under Sections 85 BNS, 2023 and Section 34 of Dowry Prohibition Act. 2. Heard the arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State. 3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the accused petitioner that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to grudge, malice and dirty village party politics. It is further submitted that the petitioner bears criminal antecedent of one case i.e. Mahishi P.S. Case No. 18/2025 in which he is on police bail. It is further submitted that petitioner is husband of complainant and has never assaulted and tortured the complainant for dowry. It is further submitted that petitioner is a man of means and there is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the evidence in this case and he is ready to furnish sound sureties to the full satisfaction of the learned court. Upon these grounds, the learned counsel prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. 4. On the other hand, the learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail. 5. Rival submissions considered, Record	

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 24th April, 2026

Present:- Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

A.B.P. No. 323/2026 (Arising out of Complaint Case No. 1108c/2024)

Md. Zeeshan

..... Petitioner Vs State of Bihar

Cont/2-
24.04.2026

perused.

6. The case of complainant in short, as per the complaint petition is that her marriage was solemnized with Md. Zeeshan on 24.05.2016 in presence of relatives of both sides. As per his capacity the father of complainant has given cash of Rs. 8,00,000/- alongwith jewelries, clothes and household articles worth Rs. 3,50,000/-. After few days of marriage her husband and in-laws demanded a four wheeler vehicle and cash of Rs. 5 Lakhs on which she shows the incapibility of her father. On which the accused persons have assaulted her with filthy words. A panchayti was convened to pacify the matter in which the accused persons have directed to keep the complainant with full honour and dignity. Two daughters namely Hadiya Praveen and Haniya Praveen were born in the year 2017 and 2019 thereafter the accused persons again demanded dowry and threatened to drove out her matrimonial house if she will not fulfill. The father of complainant has given a motor-cycle worth Rs. 85,000/- alongwith cash of Rs. 5 Lakhs but the accused persons still not happy and they hatched conspiracy to kill her and her children. It is further alleged that her husband has illicit relationship with the accused No. 6 Mehjabi and when she objected then the accused persons snatched her mobile and jewelry and drove out from the house thereafter she lived at her parental house. The accused persons again brought her to in-law house and Md. Zeeshan got appointed as teacher. The accused persons tried to kill her by pouring kerosene on her body but she alongwith her daughters was saved by the nearby people.

7. The complaint case has been registered under Sections 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 85 of BNS, 2023 and Section 34 of the Dowry Prohibition Act against eight accused persons. The learned trial court has taken cognizance against the accused

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 24th April, 2026

Present:- Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

A.B.P. No. 323/2026 (Arising out of Complaint Case No. 1108c/2024)

Md. Zeeshan

..... Petitioner Vs State of Bihar

Cont/3-
24.04.2026

under Sections 85 of BNS, 2023 and Section 34 of Dowry Prohibition Act on the basis of S.A. of complainant as well as inquiry witnesses.

8. Admittedly the case is based on the complaint as such no investigation is being done and therefore, there is no question of custodial interrogation. The purpose of bail is to ensure the presence of the accused at the time of trial.

9. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner **Md. Zeeshan** is **allowed** and in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioners within a period of four weeks from today, he is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned jurisdictional Magistrate where the case is presently pending, further subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of BNSS.

(Dictated)



(Dinesh Sharma)

Principal Sessions Judge,

Saharsa

24.04.2026

Date of Judgement/Order	24.04.2026
Date of Reserving Judgement/Order	24.04.2026
Uploading Date	28-04-26
Uploaded by	Saved Haider