

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 08th June 2026

Present: - Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

Session Case No. 145/2024

State of Bihar Vs. Siken Yadav & another

Sr. No.	Date of proceeding	Order under section 232 of the Cr.PC with the signature of the court	Office action taken with date
	08.06.2026	Case file taken up. Ld. PP is present for the state. Accused Siken Yadav and Sulen Devi are present in person along with their Ld. Counsel. 2. The case is at the stage of prosecution evidence, however, no witness is present today. 3. Record reveals that charges were framed on 22.04.2024 and the last witness was examined on 08.07.2025. Despite taking several adjournment the prosecution has not produced its remaining witnesses. The case cannot be kept pending indefinitely for prosecution evidence. In view of the above the prosecution evidence is hereby closed. 4. Perusal of record shows that the prosecution has examined total five witnesses in the case. However, there is no incriminating evidence against the accused person. Therefore, their examination in terms of section 351 of the BNSS is dispensed with. heard. 5. Heard the Ld. PP and the ld counsel for the accused in terms of section 232 or Cr. PC/section 255 of the BNSS. The Ld. PP has fairly conceded that there is no incriminating evidence against the accused persons as all the five witness have turned hostile and deposed nothing against the accused person. The same argument was repeated by the ld. Counsel for the accused persons. 6. The submissions considered record perused. The accused person is facing trial for the alleged commission of offences u/s 341, 307/34, 504 and 506 of the IPC. In order to substantiate its case, the prosecution has examined five witnesses. All five witnesses have turned hostile and deposed nothing incriminating against the accused persons. 7. The court finds no necessity to mention the facts of the case as the same would-be futile exercise and would unnecessarily waste the precious judicial time. 8. There is absolutely no evidence against the accused person, therefore, both the accused person are hereby acquitted from all the charges framed against them. 9. The sureties are discharged from the liability of their bail bonds, however, record reveals that the acquitted persons have not furnished bonds in terms of section 437A Cr.PC/section 481 of the BNSS. They are directed to furnish personal bonds in the sum of Rs. 5,000/- each with one surety each in the like amount in terms of section 437A/ section 481 of the BNSS.	

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SAHARSA

Dated, Saharsa, the 08th June 2026

Present: - Dinesh Sharma, Principal District & Session Judge, Saharsa

Session Case No. 145/2024

State of Bihar Vs. Siken Yadav & another

Cont.02
08.06.2026

10. Announced today in open Court on this 8th day of June 2026. File be consigned to the record room as per rules.

Dictated

Sd/-

(Dinesh Sharma)

Principal and Sessions Judge

Date of Judgement/Order	08.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgement/Order	08.06.2026
Uploading Date	08.06.2026
Uploaded by	Sachin Kumar