

COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- IIIrd, SAHARSA
Present- Chandan Kumar

ABA No.- 257/2026

Arising out of Sonbarsa Raj P.S. Case No.- 25/2026

Priya Kumari and four others

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
15.07.2026	1. The present bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused persons namely 1. Priya Kumari, 2. Rajesh Kumar, 3. Ramsogarath Sah, 4. Rabindra Kumar Sah and 5. Bhushan Prasad Choudhary who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sonbarsa Raj PS Case No. 25/2026 registered for the offences punishable U/Ss- 318(2), 318(4), 336(2), 324(4), 308(3), 352, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of BNS. The record has been been put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Brijkishore Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned A.P.P. Sri Sadanand Yadav for the State. 3. The case of prosecution in brief as per written information of Amrita Bharti w/o- Sanjay Kumar Suman @ Sanjay Kumar is that the property was purchased by payment of consideration money to Binod Chaudhary and Bhushan Chaudhary and since then having possession over 45.5 decimal of land out of 91 decimal of land from west side in the land bearing khata no.-534, khasra-1742. Further her husband has also got money as compensation against land requisition from the said khata no.-534, khasra-1742 for area 18.53 decimal. Further case is that her husband's brother Satyanarayan Sah has also purchased the land from said khasra from towards the east from same vendor vide deed no.-720 dated 10.01.2002. Further case of the informant is that through forged sale deed no.-19748 dated 15.10.2024 Bhushan Chaudhary sold	

COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- IIIrd, SAHARSA
Present- Chandan Kumar

ABA No.- 257/2026

Arising out of Sonbarsa Raj P.S. Case No.- 25/2026

page-2 Contd. 15.07.2026	out 4 decimal of land vide sale in same khata and khasra. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are having clean antecedent and further he submitted that earlier petitioners have not filed any application for bail in this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court. Further he submitted that petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. Further it is submitted that petitioner no.-1 is the vendee while Bhushan Prasad is the petitioner no.-5 Bhushan Prasad Chaudhary. Further petitioner no.-2 is the husband of vendee and petitioner no.-3 is the father-in-law of the vendee while petitioner no.-4 is the identifier of the alleged deed dated 07.01.2024. Lastly, it is submitted that the Petitioners are man of means and there is no chance of their absconding or tampering with the evidence in this case. Hence, the prayer for bail may be allowed. On the contrary learned A.P.P. opposed the prayer for bail. 5. I have heard the rival submission of both parties. Perused the case record. On perusal of the record it appears that the alleged sale deed has been executed by Bhushan Prasad Chaudhary claiming himself to be son of Ram Chaudhary said to be the one in whose name the khatian is standing. Further it appears that petitioner no.-1 is the vendee, petitioner no.-2 is the husband of vendee and petitioner no.-3 is the father-in-law of the vendee while petitioner no.-4 is the identifier of the alleged deed dated 07.01.2024. Further petitioner no.-1 who is the vendee to the alleged sale deed and she is not the one not the one who who has made any grievance rather they have been made accused while accused petitioner no.-4 is only the identifier/witness. Further the petitioner no.5 has executed the sale deed claiming the same to be his own and there is nothing as such to show at this stage that in any manner	
---	---	--

COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- IIIrd, SAHARSA
Present- Chandan Kumar

ABA No.- 257/2026

Arising out of Sonbarsa Raj P.S. Case No.- 25/2026

<u>Page-3</u> contd. 15.07.2026	he has forged the deed. Further the dispute as to whether the petitioner no.-1 is/was having right of of executing the deed for the area mentioned in the deed is predominantly of civil nature. 6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the present case the accused petitioners namely 1. Priya Kumari, 2. Rajesh Kumar, 3. Ramsogarath Sah, 4. Rabindra Kumar Sah and 5. Bhushan Prasad Choudhary directed to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender on execution of the bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties each in the like amount by each of them within four weeks of the receipt of this order to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS.. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Chandan Kumar) District & Addl. Sessions Judge III	

COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- IIIrd, SAHARSA
Present- Chandan Kumar

ABA No.- 257/2026

Arising out of Sonbarsa Raj P.S. Case No.- 25/2026