

Sri Santosh Kumar
Addl.Sessions Judge-I
Saharsa

In The Court Of Addl.District & Sesions Judge-I,Saharsa

Regular Bail Application No.149/2026

Sonbarsa Raj P.S.Case No.228/2025

u/s.25(1-B)a,26 Arms Act.

1. Amarjeet Singh S/o. Suman Singh aged about 25 Yrs.
Village- Biratpur, Ward No.10, P.S.Sonbarsa Raj
Distt-Saharsa.-----Accused
Vrs.

1. State of Bihar-----O.P.

06.04.2026

Heard both sides on the regular bail application of the accused Amarjeet Singh, Who is in judicial custody since 28.11.2025.

The Ld. lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that he has been falsely implicated in this case due to the enmity with police officials & actually no any arms and communication as alleged int he F.I.R. have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner, Hence prayer is made to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

The Ld. A.P.P. opposed the prayer of bail & argued that one desi(country made) pistol along with live cartridge has been recovered from the exclusive concious possession of the petitioner.

Further more the petitioner has two criminal history of previous cases out of one is of the arms act. So,from the conduct of the petitioner it appears that

:: 02 ::

Regular Bail Application No.149/2026

Sonbarsa Raj P.S. Case No.228/2025

Cont...

06.04.2026

petitioners is habitual keeper of illegal arms which is not only an offence rather it is tools & means of other offence like extortion, Dacoity, Murder etc. More so, In this case charge has not been framed. So if the petitioner be enlarged on bail most likely that he will not be available for framing of charge & trial it might possible that he may repeat similar offence or any other serious offence. Hence prayer is made to reject the prayer of bail.

Having heard both sides perused the case record and found that one country made pistol along with magazine live catridge has been recovered from the exclusive concious possession of petitioner. The petitioner has history of two criminal cases one is of arms act itself. From perusal of the trial court record it appears that in this case charge has not been framed as yet and case is fixed for framing of charge. So under such circumstances till framing of charge. I am not incline to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail Because if he be released on bail it might be possible that he will not be available for framing of charge. As such trial court is requested to expedite the trial of the petitioner after giving proper opportunity to both the party .

However the petitioner is at liberty to renew the prayer of bail after framing of charge.

Sri Santosh Kumar
Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Saharsa

:: 03 ::

Regular Bail Application No.149/2026

Sonbarsa Raj P.S. Case No.228/2025

Cont...

06.04.2026

With the aforesaid direction/observation
the present bail application filed by the petitioner stand
Rejected.

Dictated

Addl. Sessions Judge-I