

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, SAHARSA

A.B.A. NO. 151/2026
Saharsa P.S. case no. 62/2026

Tarun Kumar**Petitioner**
versus
The State of Bihar

Order

11.03.2026

1. Anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely **1.Tarun Kumar**, who apprehends his arrest in connection with **Saharsa P.S Case No. 62/2026** registered under sections 338, 336(3), 336(4), 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case as per informant is that on 20.09.2025 at about 05:30, the accused/petitioner along with other co-accused came to her residence and threatened the informant by showing Arms. It has been further alleged in the FIR that accused/petitioner Tarun Kumar along with his two friends Kumar Kishor Kaushal and Pankaj Kumar has forged the signature of the deceased husband of the informant and prepared/made an agreement to sale. It is mentioned in the FIR that informant has filed a **Title Suit Bearing No. 464/2025** and which is pending for adjudication.

3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Ld. APP for the state along with counsel for the informant.

4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence at all and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that petitioner is law abiding citizen and there is no chance of absconding or tempering with the evidence.

5. Ld. APP vehemently and strongly opposes the bail petition.

6. Perused the record and case dairy. In the entire case diary, all the witnesses has stated that the accused/petitioner has used the signature of

Contd...

11.03.2026.

deceased husband of the informant, which was available in Education Department and by scanning the same the accused/petitioner has made the agreement to sale.

7. It is important to mention here that since the title suit has been filed where the informant has right to question that whether the signature of the accused/petitioner is forged and not by seeking the FSL or forensic report, till then it cannot be said that the signature is forged unless opinion of the expert is on the record or is obtained.

8. Therefore in the fact and circumstance mentioned herein above that there is a concrete proof on the record that the signature of deceased husband of the informant has been forged, the prayer of anticipatory bail of accused Tarun Kumar is **allowed** and he shall be **released on bail** in the event of his arrest **or** surrender **within six weeks** before the concern court with two sureties of Rs. 10000/- of like amount.

9. However it is being made clear here-in after hearing the counsel for the informant and Ld. APP that, if it is lawfully proved by the opinion of the expert that the signature of the deceased husband of the informant has been forged by the accused/petitioner Tarun Kumar, then the **informant shall have right to apply for cancellation of bail.**

According the bail petition is disposed of.

Dictated
Sd/-

Avinash Kumar I
Addl. Sessions Judge-IV
Saharsa