

The Court of 2nd Dist. & Additional Sessions Judge, Bikramganj, Bihar

A.B.P. NO. 524/2025

Comp Case no. 34/2023

Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with the Signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
23.03.2026	<p>An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely Ajay Singh @ Ajay Kumar Singh who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Comp Case no. 34/2023, registered u/s 420,406, 323, 341, 379, 354, 504 of the IPC.</p> <p>Case has been heard and was posted for an order today. Mr. Kumar Bhargav Mishra, Ld. counsel on behalf of accused appeared and submitted that applicant is innocent and have not committed any offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is ready to abide all the conditions of bail. Hence his application for anticipatory bail be allowed.</p> <p>Ld. APP appearing on behalf of State has opposed the anticipatory bail application. He argued that matter of an application has been registered under section 420,406, 323, 341, 379, 354, 504 of the IPC. Hence the instant anticipatory bail application be rejected.</p> <p>Perused the record of case and material available on record. From perusal it transpires that the instant case has been filed on the written application of informant namely Abhishek Kumar. The instant case has been filed against the petitioner for the offence punishable under section 420,406, 323, 341, 379, 354, 504 of the IPC.</p> <p>On notice, the complainant appeared before the court and this court tried to resolve the dispute between them but parties failed to settle. It is well settled that a Criminal court, exercising jurisdiction to grant bail / anticipatory bail, is not expected to act as a recovery agent to realize</p>	

The Court of 2nd Dist. & Additional Sessions Judge, Bikramganj, Bihar

A.B.P. NO. 524/2025

Comp Case no. 34/2023

Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with the Signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
Cont..... 23.03.2026	<p>the dues of the complainant, and that too without any trial. See: (i) Ramesh Kumar Vs. State NCT Delhi (2023) 7 SCC 461 (Para 23) (ii) Dilip Singh Vs. State of M.P, (2021) 2 SCC 779. The petitioner is charged with offence in which highest punishment is up to seven years which comes under the category A as discussed in Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI & Anr. The petitioner has claimed clear antecedent on affidavit and nothing adverse is brought on record by the complainant.</p> <p>Considering the above enumerated facts & circumstances and lack of criminal antecedent of the petitioners, the prayer for bail of the petitioners stands allowed.</p> <p>Let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court below in the event of arrest or surrender with direction that the petitioner shall cooperate with the trial and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the court shall be at liberty to cancel bail. The petitioners are directed to remain present till framing of charge.</p> <p>Let a copy of this order along with be transmitted to Ld. Court below forthwith. O/C is directed to deposit the record as per rules.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Dictated)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2nd D & ASJ Bikramganj</p>	