

The Court of 2nd Dist. & Additional Sessions Judge, Bikramganj, Bihar

A.B.P. NO. 182/2026

Dinara P.S. Case no. 118/2026

Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with the Signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
27.07.2026	An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely Nishant Kumar @ Brishant Kumar who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Dinara P.S. Case no. 118/2026, registered u/s 109, 3(5) of the BNS and 25(1-B)(a), 26, 27, 35 of Arms Act. Case has been heard and was posted for an order today. Mr. Pavan Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel on behalf of accused appeared and submitted that applicant is innocent and have not committed any offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case due to mere suspicion. He further submits that the petitioner’s name has come in this case on statement of co-accused Bajrangi Kumar. The petitioner is ready to abide all the conditions of bail. Hence his application for anticipatory bail be allowed. Ld. APP appearing on behalf of State has opposed the anticipatory bail application. He argued that matter of an application has been registered under section 109, 3(5) of the BNS and 25(1-B)(a), 26, 27, 35 of Arms Act. Hence the instant anticipatory bail application be rejected. Perused the record of case and material available on record. From perusal it transpires that the instant case has been filed on the written application of informant namely Santosh Kumar. The instant case has been filed against unknown for the offence punishable under section 109, 3(5) of the BNS and 25(1-B)(a), 26, 27, 35 of Arms Act. Prosecution story in nut shell is that the informant was going to school on motorcycle and when he	

The Court of 2nd Dist. & Additional Sessions Judge, Bikramganj, Bihar

A.B.P. NO. 182/2026

Dinara P.S. Case no. 118/2026

Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with the Signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
Cont..... 27.07.2026	reached rajpur bazar, accused persons stopped his vehicle and open firing but it misfired. After that he tried to escape but the villagers caught the person. On perusal of case diary, it transpire that informant in his further statement at para 03 of CD and other witnesses at para 12, 17, and 18 of CD have supported the prosecution version of the case. On perusal of FIR , statement made during investigation and SDR and CDR of mobile phone (para no. 106 and 118 of the case diary) it is clear that accused petitioner was member of a gang or a syndicate which is indulge in contract killing. Considering the above enumerated facts & circumstances and the fact that he is member of organised crime gang, This court does not apt case in which this discretion may be invoked. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands Refused. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to Ld. Court below forthwith. O/C is directed to deposit the record as per rules. (Dictated) 2nd D & ASJ Bikramganj	