

**IN THE COURT OF 01<sup>st</sup> DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE,**  
**ROHTAS AT SASARAM**  
**Sessisons Trial No. 506 OF 2024**  
**The State of Bihar Vs. Sanjay Kumar**

Date of Order	Order with signatures of Court	Action taken by office with date
<u>13.01.2026</u>	<p>The learned counsel for the applicant / accused Sanjay Kumar in custody since 03.04.2024 has pressed the bail application filed on 07<sup>th</sup> of January, 2026, he has prayed inviting this Court towards the order passed by the Hon'ble Patna High Court vide order dated 29<sup>th</sup> of August, 2025 of Criminal Miscellaneous No. 37847 of 2025, wherein and whereunder, the Hon'ble Court has been pleased to reject the bail prayer of this very petitioner by endoresing the condition that if the trial is not concluded within a stipulated period of four months, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before this Court and stressed on the point that such period has already been elapsed but the trial is yet to be concluded and has pressed for bail inviting the attention towards the deposition recorded during the course of trial and prayed for bail.</p> <p>At the other hand, learned P.P. has submitted that the bail prayer of this very petitioner has been rejected by this Court and by the Hon'ble High Court, of the petitioner as well, showing seriousness of the offences, has prayed to refuse again.</p> <p>In view of the contentions so made on behalf of both of the sides as discussed above, it appears from the conning of the case record that it is well true that the regular bail prayer of this very petitioner has been rejected by this Court taking note of the enormity of the offene and thereafter, by the Hon'ble High Court as well endorsing certain conditions thereby to direct to this Court to expedite the trial and conclude the trial preferably within a period of four months from the date of order i.e. 29.08.2025 and if the such trial is not concluded, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew the prayer before this Court. It is apparently true that the period, as such afforded by the Hon'ble Court to this Court has also been elapsed and expired on 29<sup>th</sup> of December, 2025 but the record transpires that the order as such received to the office of this Court not before 06<sup>th</sup> of January, 2026 such a things should be communicated to the Hon'ble Court for extention of time. In such a way the period so afforded by the Hon'ble Court are yet to complete, as this Court was not conversant of the such order of the Hon'ble Court. In such state of the fact, taking note of the averment of the learned counsel for the parties even on this point of time, this Court does not find it a fit one as such, it is refused again, with a direction to the office to take down a letter of the request and communicate to the Hon'be Court for extending the period of time in another four months from today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Dict.) <b>(Illegible)</b> 01<sup>st</sup> D.J &amp; A.S.J. Rohtas at Sasaram</p>	

--	--	--