

In the court of Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram

**Criminal Revision Case No. 71 of 2021**

Sugandhi Devi @ Suganghi Pandey, aged 45 years,

Wife of Late Ram Awadhesh Pandey

R/o- Moh- Shivganj, P.S.-Dehri, Distt.- Rohtas

.....Revisionist

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....O.P.

**Present:** Sri Saurabh Singh, Amicus Curiae on behalf of the Revisionist.  
Sri Upendra Kumar, Ld. P.P. on behalf of State.

**ORDER**

**13.06.2026**

Case has been called out.

Ld. Counsel Sri Saurabh Singh on behalf of revisionist and Ld. P.P. for the State appeared.

The revisionist has filed this criminal revision against order dated 19-03-2021 passed by the learned Court of Sri Chandan Kumar Verma J.M- 1<sup>st</sup>, Class, Dehri in Complaint Case No. 171/2020 by which the learned Trial Court ordered to issue summons against the revisionist.

Being aggrieved by and dissatisfied by the aforesaid order, the revisionist has preferred this memo of revision.

Perused the record.

Ld. Counsel Sri Saurabh Singh on behalf of revisionist submitted that the order dated 19-03-2021 is not maintainable. He further submitted that Ld. Trial didn't take into consideration that there is no eye witness. He further submitted that the revisionist was not present at the alleged time and date of alleged occurrence. Ld. Counsel prayed to set aside the order dated 19-03-2021.

Ld. P.P. opposed the prayer of the revisionist.

Heard both sides.

As per record, it transpires that in due course of trial, Ld. Trial court has examined the complainant and three other inquiry witnesses, who have supported the case of the complainant. Hence, Ld. Trial court after examination of witnesses and considering the materials available on record passed the impugned order, which is legal and judicious and don't require any interference.

In view of facts and circumstances as discussed above, this criminal revision is hereby rejected at the stage of admission.

(Dictated and corrected)

(Anuj Kumar Jain)  
Sessions Judge,  
Rohtas at Sasaram.