

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-XI
Rohtas at Sasaram****Present:- Manish Kumar Pandey
District & Additional Sessions Judge-XI****ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO.- 581 of 2026
(Arising out of Rohtas P.S. Case No.- 63/2026)**

-
1. **SANDIP KUMAR, aged about 26 years,
S/o- Lakshman Prasad.**
 2. **RANJIT KUMAR, aged about 25 Yrs.
S/o- Yogendra Prasad.**
 3. **MOHIT KUMAR, aged about 24 Yrs.
S/o Hogendra Prasad.**
 4. **MANJU DEVI @ SEEMA DEVI, aged about 45 Yrs.
W/o Yogendra Prasad**
 5. **RAVI KUMAR, aged about 24 Yrs.
S/o Sharwan Prasad**
 6. **SONU KUMAR, aged about 26
S/o Late Ghanshyam Prasad**
 7. **RAJU KUMAR @ BANDA , aged about 30 Yrs.
S/o Premachand Saw**
 8. **RAJA CHAUDHARY, aged about 27 Yrs.
S/o Late Ashok Choudhary.**
- All R/o Village-Akberpur Nagar Panchayat, P.S-Rohtas, District- Rohtas
.....Petitioners.**

Versus**The State of Bihar Opposite Party.**

Appearance : Shri Aqib Asharaf, Ld. Adv. for petitioners.
 : Shri Sugriv Chaudhary, Ld. Addl. P.P. for the state.

ORDER**Dated- 09.04.2026**

1. The above named petitioners are apprehend his arrest in relation with Rohtas P.S Case No. 63/2026 Dated 05.03.2026 U/s 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 351(2), 352, 191 of BNS 2023.
2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the petitioners as well as Ld. Addl. Public Prosecutor on behalf of the state.
3. The case of the prosecution story is that one Mantu Kumar filed a written report on 05.03.2026 stating therein that on 04.03.2026 at about 3 P.M. he was attending the group of Holi and was enjoying. When they reached at Akberpur Bazar near the shop of one Sharwan Prasad the petitioners also came there with their group and committed MAARPEET with lathi Danda and rod to informant. It is further stated that he identify the person of both the groups and he went to talk with them. It is lastly stated they were assaulted the informant and the result the informant become injured and he take treatment at Vishal Diagnostic Hospital at Akberpur.

4. On behalf of the petitioners are innocent and having no criminal antecedents. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case due to enmity and gruden. The allegations made in the FIR are false and absurd. In fact the infomant group were take liquors and the occasion of Holi and they were committed unfear means on the road side and committed wrong act with the wife of petitioner raised objection and in the result the informant and his group committed MAARPEET with the petitioner, which was compromised by the villagers after some time the informant filed this false and implicate all the petitioners. The informant and his group are notorious persons and all of them were takes liquor at the time of said occurrence. Some petitioners came to compromise the matter whose name was also given as an accused in above noted case. The present case is out and out false case and the wife of the petitioner Raja Chaudhary @ Omprakash Kumar filed a case against the informant and otheres at SC/ST P.S. DEHRI. Further submitted that no anticipatory bail petition has been filed earlier in this Learned Court or before Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

5. On behalf of the prosecution Ld. Addl. Public Prosecutor has strongly opposed the Bail Petition.

6. I have heard rival contentions and persued the case diary it transpires that case diary Para no. 3 and 4 witnesses who stated before the police during investigting are the brother of the informant. From the perusal of Injury report, injury is simple in nature. There is no any criminal antecedent of the petitioner. This case is filed due to pressurise the petitioners because one of the petitioner's Raja Chaudhary wife file SC/ST P.S. Dehri Case against the informant.

Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances and nature of allegations. In my considered view petitioners are entitled to get the privilege of Anticipatory Bail and this Anticipatory Bail Application is **Allowed** and it is ordered that in the event of Arrest/Surrender petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court concerned in Rohtas P.S F.I.R no. 63/2026 subject to condition as laid down U/s- 482(2) of the BNSS/ 438 (2) IPC within 30 days from passing of this order.

7. Opinion and views expressed herein above shall not affect the merits of the case during trial.

Copy of this order be sent to Ld. Court concerned.

(Dictated)

**District & Additional Sessions Judge-XI
Rohtas at Sasaram
09.04.2026**