

**IN THE COURT OF 3<sup>rd</sup> ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,**

**ROHTAS AT SASARAM**

**BAIL PETITION NO. 211/2026**

**(Arising out of Akorhigola P.S Case No. 472/2025)**

**IN THE MATTER OF:-**

1. Bholu Kumar @ Sanjeet Kumar, S/o Tej Narayan Singh, aged about 26 years,  
R/o- Vill- Chand Bigha, P.S.- Akorhigola , Distt-Rohtas

..... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ramashish Singh-II, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) : Mr. Vidya Sagar Rai, Ld. A.P.P.

**BAIL PETITION NO. 226/2026**

**(Arising out of Akorhigola P.S Case No. 472/2025)**

**IN THE MATTER OF:-**

2. Binod Kumar Sah, S/o Jaglal Sah, aged about 64 years,  
R/o- Vill- Jamsona, P.S.- Dawath , Distt-Rohtas

..... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dhiraj Kumar Pandey, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) : Mr. Vidya Sagar Rai, Ld. A.P.P.

**BAIL PETITION NO. 227/2026**

**(Arising out of Akorhigola P.S Case No. 472/2025)**

**IN THE MATTER OF:-**

3. Ajay Yadav, S/o Late Hareram Singh, aged about 40 years,  
R/o- Vill- Niranjapur, P. S. Akorhigola , Distt-Rohtas

..... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Manish Kumar, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) : Mr. Vidya Sagar Rai, Ld. A.P.P.

**BAIL PETITION NO. 231/2026**

**(Arising out of Akorhigola P.S Case No. 472/2025)**

**IN THE MATTER OF:-**

4. Bimlesh Singh, S/o Late Banarasi Singh, aged about 30 years,  
R/o- Vill Pakariya, P. S. Akorhigola , Distt-Rohtas

..... Petitioner.

Cont.....

Versus

The State of Bihar ..... Opposite Party.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Uma Kant, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) : Mr. Vidya Sagar Rai, Ld. A.P.P.

**BAIL PETITION NO. 247/2026**

**(Arising out of Akorhigola P.S Case No. 472/2025)**

**IN THE MATTER OF:-**

5. Radha Paswan @ Bisarjan Paswan, S/o Lakhan Paswan,

R/o- Vill- Kadwan, P. S. Nokha , Distt-Rohtas

..... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar ..... Opposite Party.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Abhishek Pratap Singh, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) : Mr. Vidya Sagar Rai, Ld. A.P.P.

**BAIL PETITION NO. 253/2026**

**(Arising out of Akorhigola P.S Case No. 472/2025)**

**IN THE MATTER OF:-**

6. Sonu Kumar @ Surya Don @ Kaushal Kumar @ Kaushal Paswan, S/o Basant Paswan,

R/o- Vill Mahuari, P.S.- Akorhigola, Distt-Rohtas

..... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar ..... Opposite Party.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sunil Singh, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) : Mr. Vidya Sagar Rai, Ld. A.P.P.

**ORDER**

**30.03.2026** This is an application for grant of bail U/s 439 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of above named accused / petitioners who are in custody since 07.02.2026, 07.02.2026, 07.02.2026, 11.12.2025, 20.01.2026 & 11.12.2025 respectively in Akodhigola P.S Case No. 472/2025, u/s , 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 109, 352, 351(3) BNS and 25(1-B)(b), 26, 27, 35 Arms Act.

The Ld. Counsels appearing on behalf of petitioners submits that the petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. The Ld. Counsels further submits that one Pramod Kumar SHO of Akordhigola P. S recorded his self statement on 10.12.2025 at about 03:45 PM alleging therein that he received a secret information on 09.12.2025, 11:10 PM there was exchange of firing between Ritik Kumar and Surya Don @ Kaushal Kumar and on that very information the informant and other police personnal reached at village Pakadiya and caught Surya Don @ Kaushan Kumar who was injured and had sustained fire injury. Surya Don was taken away to hospital one another person was also injured namely Radha Paswan was also caught and taken away to hospital. The informant

Cont.....

seized one damage barrel of country made katta and 29 piece of live cartridges and 22 pieces of empty cartridge and 11 piece of country made cartridges and 3 pieces and 2 pieces also empty cartridges were found there. In this way, this case was instituted which is under investigation.

Ld. Counsels further submits that the whole case of the prosecution story is maliciously false, concocted and contrary to the actual facts and no occurrence took place in the manner and circumstances as alleged by the prosecution. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner Bhola Kumar @ Sanjeet Kumar submits that there is no criminal antecedent of the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 07.02.2026. The petitioner is not named in the FIR and nothing has been recovered from his possession except confessional statement of the petitioner there is no evidence direct or circumstantial against the petitioner. The co-accused Ajay Yadav confess his guilt before the police and disclose the name of the petitioner which has got no evidencial value in the eye of law. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner Binod Kumar Sah submits that this petitioner has been brought through so-called confidential information and also from co-accused confessional statement. The petitioner has got involvement in one criminal case Akorhigola 473/25 and the petitioner is in jail custody since 07.02.2026. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner Ajay Yadav submits that two criminal cases are pending against him i.e. Natwar P. S. Case No. 55/24 and Akorhigola P. S. Case No. 473/25. He has been in custody since 07.02.2026. No allegation even the firing has been made against him as the person who sustained injury neither disclosed the name of petitioner nor made any complain before the police. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner Bimlesh Singh submits that three cases have been lodged for one occurrence. First is the this present case which has been lodged by the police and the second is Akodhigola P. S. Case No. 473/25 which has been lodged by this petitioner against the Surya Don and the third is Akodhigola P.S. Case No. 474/25 which has been lodged against this petitioner and other Kaushal Kumar. The actual facts is that this petitioner and others brutally assaulted by one Kaushal Kumar @ Surya Don. The sections 115(2), 190, 352, 351(3) BNS areailable. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner Radha Paswan @ Bisarjan Paswan submit that on the contrary it is the present petitioner and the co-accused who have sustained serious firearm injuries, which is clearly establishes that the opposite party was the aggressor and the present case is nothing but a false and concocted counterblast to save themselves from criminal liability. A counter-case has already been lodged by the co-accused vide Akhorhigola 471-25 regarding the same occurrence. Ld. Counsel on for the petitioner Sonu Kumar @ Surya Don @ Kaushal Kumar @ Kaushal Paswan submits that three cases have been lodged for one occurrence. The petitioner and others brutally assaulted by one Bimlesh Kumar & others and this case has been intentionally lodged by the police in collusion with Bimlesh Kumar. There is no cogent and probative evidence against the petitioner in this case. The petitioner has been victimized by the informant in collusion with the informant of Akodhigola P.S. Case No. 473/25 because the informant always try to implicate this petitioner in false case.

On the other hand, The learned APP for the state strongly opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail on behalf of petitioners / accused persons.

Heard the parties and perused the record. On perusal of the record I find that this case has been registered U/s 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 109, 352, 351(3) BNS and 25(1-B)(b), 26, 27, 35 Arms Act. It further reveals that this case is the outcome of the gang war which results firearm injuries, so far the recovery is concerned it is clear from the search and seizure memo that all the

Cont.....

arms and ammunition as alleged have been recovered in the open sky, neither the name of the person from which the possession of the concerned arms and ammunition is to be tagged nor the process of the seizure has been legally adopted by the concerned I.O. there is complete violation of section 103 (4) of BNSS **such as before making a search under this Chapter, the officer or other person about to make it shall call upon two or more independent and respectable inhabitants of the locality in which the place to be searched is situate or of any other locality if no such inhabitant of the said locality is available or is willing to be a witness to the search, to attend and witness the search and may issue an order in writing to them or any of them so to do** the petitioners are in custody since 07.02.2026, 07.02.2026, 07.02.2026, 11.12.2025, 20.01.2026 & 11.12.2025 respectively.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge them on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Id. Court below. O.C. is directed to send the copy of this order to Ld. Court below.

(Dictated)

Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge –III  
Rohtas at Sasaram

Cont.....