

IN THE COURT OF 01st DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE

ROHTAS AT SASARAM

BAIL PETITION NO. 236 of 2026

Arising out of Karwandiya P.S. Case No. 13 of 2026

Under Section 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109, 121(1), 121(2), 125(a), 125(b), 117(2), 132, 126(2), 224, 262, 263, 351(2), 352 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Ashok Chaudhary, Aged about 45 years

S/o- Sudarshan Chaudhary

R/o-Village – Karwandiya(chandani chowk), P.S.-Karwandiya,

District- Rohtas

..... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party.

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ajeet Kumar, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) : Mr. Upendra Kumar, Ld. P.P.

ORDER

11.03.2026 This regular bail application has been preferred on behalf of the above noted accused in custody since 19.02.2026 pertaining to in connection with **Karwandiya P.S. Case No. 13 of 2026** for the offence under section 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109, 121(1), 121(2), 125(a), 125(b), 117(2), 132, 126(2), 224, 262, 263, 351(2), 352 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. The parties have already been heard. The matter is posted today for order. The order follows:-

The learned counsel appearing for the accused /petitioner by reading out the contents of the F.I.R. has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in this false case only with a view to take revenge by him because he was never arrested by the informant prior to this case and some quarrel was taken place with him at chandani chowk in the arrest of some other persons. He further added that the informant intentionally include the name of informant in this present case whereas he was not present at the time at his house, and all the warrantee are made an accused by the informant and after the perusal of the F.I.R. it is also crystal clear that the petitioner never assaulted to informant by means to any arms like lathi, danda, rod. He further submitted that for the time being if the case is suppose to be true even then sections 109, 132 of B.N.S.,2023 never applied, because according to information the informant was fallen down on the herd surface and received some injury for which the petitioner is not responsible. He further added that for the time being if the case is suppose to be true even then section applied against the petitioner is bailable and the petitioner is rotting in jail custody without any offence and he is ready to furnish bail bond and sureties to the satisfaction of our honour's court and prayed to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

Per contra, the Ld. P.P appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail submitting that the allegation is direct against the accused /petitioner and is serious in nature. Hence, prayed to reject it.

After giving due attention to averments of both sides it transpires that the case was registered under section 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109, 121(1), 121(2), 125(a), 125(b), 117(2), 132, 126(2), 224, 262, 263, 351(2), 352 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 against the

IN THE COURT OF 01st DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE

ROHTAS AT SASARAM

BAIL PETITION NO. 236 of 2026

Arising out of Karwandiya P.S. Case No. 13 of 2026

Under Section 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109, 121(1), 121(2), 125(a), 125(b), 117(2), 132, 126(2), 224, 262, 263, 351(2), 352 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

Continued...

11.03.2026

petitioner including others named in the F.I.R. The contents of allegations indicates that it is the petitioner around whom the whole forbidden activities were revolved and it was he against whom the warrant of arrest was issued by the court of law and when the police rushed to execute the such warrant of arrest, he with the help of, some of other accused persons, not only succeeded in fleeing from the spot but also it was the other co-accused persons who were the family members of the petitioner who helped him to do so and some of them cause serious injuries to the informant/A.S.I. who was thereon to execute the warrant in order to comply the order of the court of law and other co-accused persons exhibited an ugly picture which can never be dealt liberally. As per para 23 of the case diary the petitioner has got two criminal antecedents bearing:-

1. Sasaram(muffassil) p.s. case no. 60 of 2007 under section 272/273 of I.P.C. and under section 47A of the excise act.
2. Sasaram(muffassil) p.s. case no. 427 of 2021 under section 30A of the excise act.

Taking the law in hand, in such a way depicts the daredevilness of the accused which does not incline this court to extend the privileges of regular bail to him. As such the prayer for regular bail is refused and ld. Court below is required to expedite the issue as and when outcome of investigation may reach to it.

(Dictated)

(Illegible)

(Pankaj Mishra)

1st District & Additional Sessions Judge,
Rohtas at Sasaram
11.03.2026

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Date of judgement/order | 11.03.2026 |
| Date of reserving judgment/order | 10.03.2026 |
| Uploading date | 12.03.2026 |
| Uploaded by | Prabhush Kr. |