

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III**ROHTAS AT SASARAM****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 408/2026****In connection with Nokha P. S. Case No. 280/2025****In the matter of :-**Anil Choudhary, S/o Banshi Choudhary, aged about 50 years,
R/o Village Mauna Tola, P. S. Nokha, Distt- Rohtas

.....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Bihar

.....Respondent.

Appearance :-**On behalf of Petitioner** : Mr. Rabindra Chaturbedy, Ld. Advocate.**On behalf of State** : Mr. Vidya Sagar Rai, Ld. A.P.P.**ORDER**

25.03.2026 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail filed on behalf of accused / petitioner named above who apprehends his arrest in connection with Nokha P. S. Case No. 280/2025, U/s 317(5) BNS.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that, petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the prosecution story in brief is that one Vikas has alleged that on 8 PM he has O.D. duty at the IPP. Information of Senior Officer that village Mauna Tola namely Anil Chaudhary along with his sons have stored electronic materials in their house as per information raiding team framed that time including informant and other police they had gone to that place. After seeing the police two persons fled away and one has been caught by the police raiding team. He further alleged that during search of their house by raiding team all seized materials. Seizure list has been prepared by team and demanded proper paper of seized material by the arrested persons namely Pintu Kumar,. He had denied to produce proper paper and he also admitted to be stolen the seized materials by his father Anil Chaudhary and younger brother Ravi Ranjan Kumar. He has also admitted the sell of Excise Battery by him to his neighbour Bijya Chaudhary for Rs. 6,000 then raiding team has raided the house of Bijay Chaudhary and found that Excise Battery sold by accused persons then searched. He has lastly stated that the raiding team have come back to the police station.

Ld. Counsel further submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to village politics. The petitioner has one case pending before Smt. Gitika Tripathi, JM 1st class Sasaram vide GR No. 117/2020. No occurrence as alleged on the date and time of alleged occurrence ever took place. The seized articles are of the petitioner. The other co-

Cont.....

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 408/2026

25.03.2026

Cont..... accused namely Ravi Ranjan Kumar has been granted anticipatory bail by Hon'ble court vide Criminal Misc. Case No. 7089/2026 order dated 04.02.2026. The petitioner is a respectable man of family so no question of arises of his absconding or tampering. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner prays to release the petitioner on bail.

The learned APP has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

Heard and perused the record. On perusal of the record, I find that this case is registered U/s 317(5) BNS. It further reveals that the petitioner has been named by one of the main accused Pintu Kumar who is the son of the petitioner and one of the active member of a group for the alleged offence of assisting in concealing with the property which he knew that those were stolen property. It is also found that on the basis of the disclosure statement of the son of the petitioner a huge quantity of stolen property i.e. TV, battery, Assembled stabilizer water motor, amplifier blower drill machine hamper machine to scissor of cutting iron sheet etc. have been recovered. The bail petitioner of the other co-accused Pintu Kumar son of Anil Chaudhary (Petitioner) has already been rejected by this court vide BP No. 967/2025 vide order dated 31.10.2025.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case discussed, particularly the nature of the allegation, material available in the case diary, I am not inclined to enlarge him on anticipatory bail. Accordingly his prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby **Rejected**.

Dictated & Corrected

Addl. Distt & Sessions Judge –III
Sasaram, Rohtas