

In the court of Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram

Criminal Revision Case No. 47 of 2024

1. Gyanti Devi, aged 27 years, Wife of Upendra Kumar
R/o- Village- Sunder Tola, P.S.- Dawath, District- Rohtas
2. Sarita Devi, aged 37 years, Wife of Ramlayak Singh
R/o village- Niranjapur, P.S- Kachhawan, District- Rohtas

3. Ritik Kumari @ Kshigan Kumar, aged 14 years, Daughter of Ramlayak Singh
4. Sumitra Devi, aged 60 years, Wife of Ashok Kumar Mehta
RR/o- Village- Niranjapur, P.S- Kachhawan, District- Rohtas

5. Upendra Kumar, aged 35 years, Son of Brij Mohan Singh
R/o- Village- Sundar Tola, P.S- Dawath, District- Rohtas

6. Narad Kumar, aged 22 years, Son of Ramlayak Singh
7. Ramlayak Singh, aged 40 years, Son of Late Ramchandra Singh
8. Ashok Kumar Mehta, aged 70 years, Son of Late Ramchantra Singh
9. Nitish Kumar, aged 20 years, Son of Ram Layak Singh
RR/o- Village- Niranjapur, P.S- Kachhawan, District- Rohtas

.....Revisionists

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

ORDER

10.03.2026

Case has been called out. No one appeared on behalf of revisionists.

The revisionists filed this criminal revision case for setting aside order dated 15-09-2023 passed by Ld. S.D.M, Bikramganj in Case No. 708/2023 under Section 107 Cr.P.C.

Being aggrieved and dissatisfied by the aforesaid order, the revisionists have preferred this memo of revision.

Perused the record.

From perusal of the record, it transpires that revisionists filed this Criminal Revision Case on 27-02-2024, but after filing this case, no any *pairvi* has been done on their behalf since 08-01-2025. The revisionists have been given last opportunity vide order dated 28-01-2026 in order to appear and pursue the case but even though none has appeared, nor there is any *pairvi* on behalf of revisionists. Even today, no one turned up on call on behalf of revisionists.

Moreover, the statutory period for the proceeding under section 107 Cr P C is one year and as per provision, no order under section 107 Cr.P.C., shall remain in force for more than one year, which has already been expired.

In view of facts and circumstances as discussed above, this criminal revision is hereby rejected.

(Dictated and corrected)

(Anuj Kumar Jain)
Sessions Judge,
Rohtas at Sasaram.
10.03.2026