

IN THE COURT OF 01st DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE
ROHTAS AT SASARAM
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 345 of 2026
Arising out of Amjhore P.S. Case No. 03 of 2026
Under Section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 117(2), 79 , 303(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

IN THE MATTER OF:-

1. **Lalan Ram**, Aged about 49 years
S/o- Jai Ram
2. **Awadhesh Ram**, Aged about 42 years
S/o- Shera Ram
Both R/o- Village –Ram Dihra, P.S.-Amjhore, District- Rohtas

..... Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party.

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ashok Kumar Tiwary, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) : Mr. Upendra Kumar, Ld. P.P.

ORDER

12.03.2026 This **pre-arrest bail** has been preferred u/s 482 of Bharatiya Suraksha Nagrik Sanhita, 2023 (438 Cr.P.C.) on behalf of the above noted accused persons apprehension of arrest in connection with Amjhore P.S. Case No. - 03 of 2026 for the offence under section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 117(2), 79 , 303(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. The parties have already been heard. The matter is posted today for order. The order follows:-

The learned counsel for petitioners / accused persons reading out the contents of F.I.R., has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and committed no offence and no bail petition regular and anticipatory on behalf of petitioners have ever been filed earlier either the ld. Lower court and before your honour court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna. He further added that petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case due to village politics and the said F.I.R. is nothing but figment of imagination and it is crystal clear from perusal of F.I.R. that a group of the boys comments to the informant sister who is the employee of polytechnic college and it is not believable that a group of boys can pass on comments each and every day and the age of petitioner no. 1 is 49 years and the petitioner no. 2 is 42 years old. He further added that the value of the theft property has not disclosed in the F.I.R. and all the sections areailable except 303(2) B.N.S. which is super addition to serious the case and there is no specific allegation of theft of petitioners and there is no criminal history against the petitioner no.1 and 2 and the petitioners undertake to furnish the undertaking bond before the lower court. He further added that petitioners are apprehended to be arrest by the local police and petitioners are man and means so question of absconding does not arise and the petitioners are ready to furnish bail bond to the satisfaction of the court and prayed to enlarge the petitioners on pre-arrest bail.

Per contra, the Ld. P.P appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for pre- arrest bail submitting that the allegation is direct against the accused persons /petitioners and serious in nature. Hence, prayed to reject it.

IN THE COURT OF 01st DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE
ROHTAS AT SASARAM
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 345 of 2026
Arising out of Amjhore P.S. Case No. 03 of 2026
Under Section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 117(2), 79 , 303(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

Continued..
12.03.2026

After giving due attention to averments of both sides it goes to appear from the record including the case diary that the F.I.R. was lodged under section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 117(2), 79 , 303(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 against the petitioners including others. As it has been appeared from the contents collected during the course of investigation that it were the petitioners who played the pivotal role in beating the victim Jitendra Singh & Anurag Kumar and the injuries sustained as such have been assessed as grievous in nature by the doctor concerned. It has been revealed from the matter on file that it were the accused side who not only used to molest the sister of the informant from one time to another continuously but also when the accused side had been forbidden to do so they all, thereafter came lashed with lathi, rod, axe, etc. and caused the injuries on the vital part of the person of the victims. This does not appear to be case where the provisions of section 482 of B.N.S.S.,2023 may be extended. Accordingly it is refused with a direction to both these accused persons to surrender before the court below within a period of fortnight from the date of this order.

(Dictated)

(Illegible)

(Pankaj Mishra)

1st District & Additional Sessions Judge,
Rohtas at Sasaram
12.03.2026

Date of judgement/order	12.03.2026
Date of reserving judgment/order	10.03.2026
Uploading date	13.03.2026
Uploaded by	Prabhash Kr.