

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ROHTAS AT SASARAM
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 301 of 2026
Arising out of Dehri (Muf.) P.S. Case No. 202 of 2025**

In the matter of :-

1. Ranjan Paswan, aged about 40 years, S/o Ganga Paswan
 2. Manju Devi, aged about 45 years, W/o Ashok Paswan
 3. Shibu Kumar, aged about 28 years, S/o Ashok Paswan
 4. Pawan Paswan, aged about 22 years, S/o Ramanand Paswan
 5. Chaturi Paswan, aged about 70 years, S/o Late Repu Paswan
 6. Urmila Devi, aged about 60 years, W/o Ramanand Paswan
- All R/o village- Ojhwaliya, P.S. Dehri (M), Distt. Rohtas.

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Bihar

.....Respondent

Appearance:-

On behalf of Petitioners :- Mr. Ravindra Kumar Singh, Ld. Advocate.

On behalf of State :- Mr. Upendra Kumar, Ld. P.P.

ORDER

19.03.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed by above named petitioners in connection with Dehri (Muf.) P.S. Case No.- 202 of 2025, U/Ss.- 190, 191(2), 126(2), 115 (2), 117(2), 109 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

As per F.I.R., the informant has alleged that on 09.12.2025 at 7:00 P.M., when he was going home, the accused persons named in the FIR started assaulting him by means of *lathi-danda* due to which he sustained head injury. It is further alleged that they also assaulted his brothers and nephew who also sustained injury.

Learned counsel on behalf of petitioners submitted that earlier no bail application either regular or anticipatory has ever been filed either before this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioners are innocent and they have committed no offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. There is land dispute between the parties and they are *Gotiya*. The petitioners lodged Dehri (M) P.S. Case No. 203/2025 against the informant. The case has been compromised between the parties. There is no criminal antecedent of the petitioners. Learned counsel prayed to allow anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners.

On the other hand, learned P.P. has opposed this anticipatory bail application. He submitted that the petitioners have assaulted the informant and others due to which they sustained injuries, which are grievous in nature. Ld. P.P. prayed to reject the petition for bail of the petitioners.

Heard both sides and perused the record and case diary.

As per injury report, however, nature of injury is also grievous, but there is no specific allegation of assault, nor any injury has been attributed to any of the petitioners. Moreover, the informant and injured persons have appeared along with their learned counsel and filed their affidavits to the effect that their injuries were simple in nature and now they are well. Further, there is land dispute and case and counter case between the parties. Furthermore, the petitioners have submitted that they have no criminal antecedent.

In view of facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on merit of the case, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners is hereby **allowed**, let the petitioners be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court within a period of 15 days from the date of this order, on furnishing bail- bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties each like amount to the satisfaction of the court subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482 (2) of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Dictated & Corrected)

(Anuj Kumar Jain)
Sessions Judge, Rohtas.
19.03.2026