

IN THE COURT OF 01st DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE
ROHTAS AT SASARAM
BAIL PETITION NO. 76 of 2026
Arising out of Tilauthu P.S. Case No. 209 of 2025
Under Section 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 of Arms Act, 1959.

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Abhishek Kumar , Aged about 23 years

S/o- Pramod Jaishwal

R/o- Mohalla– New Dilliyan, ward no-20, P.S.- Dehri(Town), District- Rohtas

..... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ramesh Singh, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) : Mr. Upendra Kumar, Ld. P.P.

ORDER

26.03.2026 This regular bail application has been preferred on behalf of the above noted accused in custody since 02.08.2025 pertaining to in connection with **Tilauthu P.S. Case No. 209 of 2025** for the offence under section 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 of Arms Act, 1959. The parties have already been heard. The order follows:-

The learned counsel appearing for the accused/petitioner by reading out the contents of the F.I.R. has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and petitioner is named accused in the F.I.R. but he has been falsely implicated by the police in this case due to personal grudge and actually he was not caught at the spot nor anything has been recovered from his possession. He further added that alleged sections are not applicable against the petitioner in the facts and circumstances of this case and he has got no concern with the alleged articles or with the other co-accused. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to furnish the sureties to the satisfaction of the court and prayed to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

Per contra, the Ld. P.P appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail submitting that the allegation is direct against the accused / petitioner and is serious in nature. Hence, prayed to reject it.

Heard the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused and the Id. P.P. It appears from the record that the petitioner is arrayed in F.I.R. as the petitioner has allegedly been sitting as pillion on the motor bike who was not driving the bike and was not in possession of arms as alleged. The petitioner is lying in jail since last more than eight months as the Id. Counsel for the applicant by filling the copies of the F.I.R. shown to be pending against the accused as per- 72 of the case diary that all the case lodged against unknown and the name of the petitioner had been arrayed arbitrarily by the investigating officer, after his arrest in this case in august, 2025 it appears to be considerable and as a warning chance, the prayer for regular bail is allowed on furnishing bail bonds worth of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties each of the like amount,

IN THE COURT OF 01st DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE
ROHTAS AT SASARAM
BAIL PETITION NO. 76 of 2026
Arising out of Tilauthu P.S. Case No. 209 of 2025
Under Section 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 of Arms Act, 1959.

Continued...

26.03.2026

to the satisfaction of the Court in seisin of original records, with further condition to be under taken by the petitioner, at the time of furnishing bail bonds as enshrined under section 480 (3) of the B.N.S.S., 2023. **Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail is allowed.**

(Dictated)

(Illegible)

(Pankaj Mishra)

1st District & Additional Sessions Judge,
Rohtas at Sasaram
26.03.2026

Date of judgement/order	26.03.2026
Date of reserving judgment/order	26.03.2026
Uploading date	30.03.2026
Uploaded by	Prabhash Kr.