

IN THE COURT OF EXCLUSIVE SPECIAL
EXCISE Court No.-I, ROHTAS AT SASARAM

Excise Case No. 58/2007
Registration No. 27/2007
CNR No. BRR0010005992007
Present: Dr. Dinesh Kumar Pradhan

District and Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Special Excise Judge-I, Sasaram
1

[As per Gazette Notification: Agenda and Corrigenda to Criminal Court Rules of the High Court of Judicature at Patna (Volume-I) (Third Edition) C.S. No. 98, Published as 5 Agrahayana 1943 (S) Patna, Friday, 26th November, 2021]

Present:		Dr. D.K. Pradhan District and Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Special Excise Judge-I, Sasaram
Date: 11.08.2026		
EXCISE CASE NO. 58/2007		
[Arising out of Prosecution Report Dated 18.04.2007, registered for offences punishable u/s 47 (a) of Excise Act]		
Complainant/ Informant	:	State of Bihar through Nishant Kumar A.S.I. of Excise, Dehri Anchal
Represented by	:	Sh. Rameshwar Singh, Ld. Spl. P.P.
Accused	:	Digvijay Singh aged around 59 years s/o Late Rampravesh Singh @ Late Rameshwar Singh r/o Village- Bhedia, P.S.- Dehri, District- Rohtas
Represented by	:	Shri Bramhanand Singh, Adv.

Date of offence	:	18.04.2007
Date of FIR/ Report	:	18.04.2007
Date of prosecution report	:	Police Report dated 16.10.2007
Date of framing charges	:	27.01.2017
Date of commencement of evidence	:	--
Date on which judgment is reserved	:	10.08.2026
Date of sentencing order, if any	:	11.08.2026

Accused details

Rank of the accused	A/1
Name of the accused	1. Digvijay Singh aged around 59 years s/o Late Rampravesh Singh @ Late Rameshwar Singh r/o Village- Bhedia, P.S.- Dehri, District- Rohtas
Date of arrest	Enlarged on bail on surrender on 03.12.2016
Date of release on bail	Enlarged on bail on surrender on 03.12.2016
Offences charged with	47 (a) of Excise Act
Whether acquitted or convicted	Acquitted
Sentence Imposed	N/A
Period of detention undergone during trial for purpose of section 428 criminal procedure code, 1973	Nil

List of Prosecution/Defence/ Court witnesses

A. Prosecution

Rank	Name	Nature of evidence [eye witness, police witness, expert witness, medical witness, panch witness, other witness]	Examined on
NO WITNESS EXAMINED BY PROSECUTION			

B. Defence, IF ANY

Rank	Name	Nature of evidence
------	------	--------------------

**IN THE COURT OF EXCLUSIVE SPECIAL
EXCISE Court No.-I, ROHTAS AT SASARAM**

Excise Case No. 58/2007

Registration No. 27/2007

CNR No. BRR0010005992007

Present: Dr. Dinesh Kumar Pradhan

District and Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Special Excise Judge-I, Sasaram

2

		[eye witness, police witness, expert witness, medical witness, panch witness, other witness]
NO WITNESS EXAMINED BY DEFENCE		

C. Court Witness, IF ANY

Rank	Name	Nature of evidence [eye witness, police witness, expert witness, medical witness, panch witness, other witness]
NO COURT WITNESS EXAMINED		

LIST OF PROSECUTION/ DEFENCE/ COURT EXHIBITS

A. Prosecution

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description	Exhibited/ Proved by	Proved on
NO DOCUMENTS ARE EXHIBITED BY THE PROSECUTION				

B. Defence

Sr. No.	Exhibit number	Description	Exhibited/ Proved by	Proved on
NO DOCUMENTS ARE EXHIBITED BY THE DEFENCE				

C. Court Exhibits

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
NO DOCUMENTS ARE EXHIBITED AS COURT EXHIBITS		

D. Material Objects

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
NO MATERIAL OBJECT IS EXHIBITED		

[This record got transferred to this Court from the Court of J.M.-Ist Class on 15.06.2017 and was received in this Court on 05.07.2017]

JUDGMENT

1. Informant states that on a secret information, the house of the Dina Gupta was searched and accused (A/1) was missing since before the occurrence of seizure. The seized articles were- (i) Director Special Whisky 44 in number each containing 180 ml of liquor in each; and 6 bottles of Director Special whisky containing 375 ml of liquor in each. Thus making total of 10.17 litres of liquor, from the house taken on rent by the A/1 on the 0520 hours on 18.04.2007.

2. The A/1 denied the charge and in his statement u/s 313 he said that he has been falsely implicated.

3. The point of determination is whether the prosecution could prove the charge against the accused A/1?

4. After framing the charge on 27.01.2017 the prosecution did not adduce any evidence. As such this is a case of no evidence. The prosecution miserably fails to prove the charge

**IN THE COURT OF EXCLUSIVE SPECIAL
EXCISE Court No.-I, ROHTAS AT SASARAM**

Excise Case No. 58/2007

Registration No. 27/2007

CNR No. BRR0010005992007

Present: Dr. Dinesh Kumar Pradhan

District and Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Special Excise Judge-I, Sasaram

3

against A/1, so he deserves acquittal.

5. Ex-consequenti, A/1 stands acquitted of charge leveled against him in this case. He is on bail. His bailors also stand discharge of their liability on bail bond. O/C to consign the record.

Typed and Corrected by me

**Pronounced in Open Court by
me**

(Dr. Dinesh Kr. Pradhan)
District and Addl. Sessions Judge-
Cum-Special Excise Judge-I,
Rohtas, Sasaram

(Dr. Dinesh Kr. Pradhan)
District and Addl. Sessions Judge-
Cum-Special Excise Judge-I,
Rohtas, Sasaram

Date: 11.08.2026

Date: 11.08.2026