

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III**ROHTAS AT SASARAM****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 110/2026****In connection with Kochas P. S. Case No. 273/2025****In the matter of :-**

1. Shahid Warshi, aged about 28 years,
 2. Jahid Warshi, aged about 26 years,
 3. Khalid Warshi, aged about 24 years,
- All S/o of Ashlam Warsi
R/o Village-Kochas, P. S. Kochas, Distt- Rohtas

.....**Petitioners.****VERSUS**

State of Bihar

.....**Respondent.****Appearance :-****On behalf of Petitioner** : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Ld. Advocate.**On behalf of State** : Mr. Vidya Sagar Rai, Ld. A.P.P.**ORDER**

21.04.2026 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail filed on behalf of accused / petitioners named above who apprehend their arrest in connection with Kochas P. S. Case No. 273/2025, U/s 126(2), 115(2), 74, 85 BNS.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners submits that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners further submits that the prosecution story in brief is that informant was married took place on 03.07.2023 according to Muslim custom with Mojahid Warsi. At the demand of her father-in-law three lakh rupees in cash were given and at the demand of her mother-in-law approximately five lakh rupee such as gold and silver jewelry, clothes, utensils TV, refrigerator, cooler, furniture etc. were given as gifts. She further stated that her in-laws were not satisfied with the items received in the marriage and started pressuring her to get five lakh rupees as dowry from her family. They did not allow her to leave for a month. The petitioners stopped her food and water and used to beat her with kicks and punches. She further states that whenever they got a chance they would molest her. During the pregnancy she was thrown out of her in-law's house. While staying at her parents' home she gave birth to a daughter. Her family pleaded and persuaded her in-laws to take her back, and on 10.08.2025 they called her to their home again, made promise and accepted me back but after some days she was tortured again.

Ld. Counsel further submits that the informant has lodged a case only with a view to save his skin from Kochas P S Case No. 272/2025 dated 06.11.205 filed the petitioner' father.

Cont.....

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 110/2026

21.04.2026

Cont..... The petitioners have no criminal history. The petitioners have got family and status so there is o chance of either absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioners prays to release the petitioners on bail.

The learned APP has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

Heard and perused the record. On perusal of the record, I find that this case is registered U/s 126(2), 115(2), 74, 85 BNS. It further reveals that there is specific allegation against the petitioners for the demand of dowry and due to non-fulfillment of dowry the petitioners ousted the informant from her matrimonial house. It further reveals that when the brother of the informant went to the petitioners house to settle the dispute then petitioners assaulted him, the doctor found the injury No.2 and 3 to be simple in nature but the injury No.1 lacerated wound 3” X ½” in frontal area of brain found to be severe caused by sharp heavy and blunt substance. Investigation is going on.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case discussed, particularly the nature of the allegation, material available in the case diary, I am not inclined to enlarge them on bail. Accordingly their prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby **Rejected**.

Dictated & Corrected

S/d-
Addl. Distt & Sessions Judge –III
Sasaram, Rohtas

Memo No..... Dated.....

Copy forwarded to.....for information and
needful in connection with.....

Addl. Distt & Sessions Judge –III
Sasaram, Rohtas