

**IN THE COURT OF 01<sup>st</sup> DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE**  
**ROHTAS AT SASARAM**  
**ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 88 of 2026**  
**Arising out of Dehri(Town) P.S. Case No. 547 of 2025**  
**Under Section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 76, 303(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.**

**IN THE MATTER OF:-**

**1. Ravi Paswan alias Ravi Ranjan**, Aged about 36 years

S/o- Shanker Paswan

**2. Shanker Ram**, Aged about 52 years

S/o- Late Ramjee Ram

**3. Sonam Devi**, Aged about 28 years

W/o- Ravi Paswan

All R/o- Mohalla – Barah-Pathar, Ward No. 36, P.S.-Dehri(Town), District- Rohtas

..... Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party.

For the Petitioners : Mr. Dipnarayan Singh, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) : Mr. Upendra Kumar, Ld. P.P.

**ORDER**

**10.03.2026** This **pre-arrest bail** has been preferred u/s 482 of Bharatiya Suraksha Nagrik Sanhita, 2023 (438 Cr.P.C.) on behalf of the above noted accused apprehension of arrest in connection with Dehri(Town) P.S. Case No. - 547 of 2025 for the offence under section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 76, 303(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. The parties have already been heard. The matter is posted today for order. The order follows:-

The learned counsel for petitioners / accused persons reading out the contents of F.I.R., has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case due to enmity and grudge and due to village politics. He further added that no occurrence took place as alleged in the F.I.R. and petitioners have got no criminal antecedent previously and the case is false, fabricated and filed with malafide intention and there is a counter case to Dehri SC/ST(T) p.s. case no. 67 of 2023 which was filed by petitioner no. 1. He further added that this is the case under section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 76, 303(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and all sections are bailable in nature except 76 and 303(2) B.N.S. and these sections are ornamental and super addition to make the case non-bailable and there is vague allegations of theft and semi-naked assault against the petitioners in the F.I.R. and there is no recovery of ornaments from the possession of the petitioners and this case was filed by informant to save her and her family members from counter case of the petitioners. He further submitted that the petitioners are of good social standing and have no prior criminal history and petitioners are ready to comply with conditions under section 482(2) of B.N.S.,2023 and petitioners are men and woman of family and farm so there is no chance of their absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence and the petitioners are ready to furnish adequate sureties to the satisfaction of the Id. Court and prayed to enlarge the petitioners on pre-arrest bail.

**IN THE COURT OF 01<sup>st</sup> DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE**  
**ROHTAS AT SASARAM**  
**ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 88 of 2026**  
**Arising out of Dehri(Town) P.S. Case No. 547 of 2025**  
**Under Section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 76, 303(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.**

**Continued..**  
**10.03.2026**

Per contra, the Ld. P.P appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for pre- arrest bail submitting that the allegation is direct against the accused persons /petitioners and serious in nature. Hence, prayed to reject it.

After giving due attention to averments of both sides it goes to appear from the record that the F.I.R. was lodged under section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 76, 303(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and it has also been appeared that the counter version in the shape of S.C./S.T. p.s. case no. 67 of dated 14.12.2025 under section 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2),3(5) of B.N.S.,2023 & 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(1)(w), 3(2)(va) of the S.C./S.T. Act, 2014 has been lodged on behalf of the petitioner side as such case and counter version is well between the parties of the same day, time and place of occurrence. The allegations in this file appear to beailable in nature except under section 76, 303(2) of B.N.S., 2023 which appears to be exaggeration to the certain extent at this point of time as the investigation is still on. It further trickles down from the case diary as per para-29 and 30 that at one hand petitioners are enjoying the benefits of section 35(3) of the B.N.S.S.,2023 and at the other hand the informant side had failed to present the medical prescription before the investigating officer and stated thereon that they sustained light injuries and have got administered some medicines by taking from the medicine store and have not gone for any medical treatment. Bearing in mind the whole facts in totality, a warning chance may be extended to these petitioners as facing general and omnibus allegations on furnishing bail bonds of worth of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties each of the like amount, in the event of their arrest or surrender, before the Court in seisin of original record, to its satisfaction, within a period of fortnight from the date of this order with further condition to be under taken, at the time of furnishing of bail bonds, u/s 482 (2) of B.N.S.S., 2023. **Accordingly, the prayer of pre-arrest bail is allowed.**

(Dictated)

**(Illegible)**

(Pankaj Mishra)

1<sup>st</sup> District & Additional Sessions Judge,

Rohtas at Sasaram

10.03.2026

Date of judgement/order	10.03.2026
Date of reserving judgment/order	27.02.2026
Uploading date	11.03.2026
Uploaded by	Prabhash Kc.