

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
-CUM- SPECIAL JUDGE, SCHEDULED CASTES AND SCHEDULED TRIBES (PoA) ACT, PURNEA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 33/2026
U/s 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023
(Arising out of Jankinagar P.S. Case No. 91/2026)

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Md. Ramjan, aged about 25 years, S/o Late Md. Jahir

All are R/o village Sahuria Bazar, P.S.- Jankinagar and District- Purnea .. Accused Petitioner
Vs.

State of Bihar Opposite Party

11.06.2026

The bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely Md. Ramjan and it has been sought for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Jankinagar P.S. Case No. 91/2026 registered for the offences punishable u/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 76, 303(2), 352/3(5) of BNS, 2023 and 3(i)(r)(s)/3(2)(v)(a) of SC/ST (PoA) Act, 1989.

2. The petitioner in para 2 of the bail application has given a certificate with respect to non-filing of any earlier bail application before this court or before Hon'ble High Court, Patna.
3. Heard the learned counsel Sri Dinesh Kumar appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the **victim** through Sri Arun Kumar Paswan, the learned Spl. P.P. appearing on behalf of the opposite party.
4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence of any atrocities as alleged against him and no offence punishable u/s. 109 of BNS, 2023 including the atrocity upon the member of scheduled caste is being attracted on the basis of the materials collected in the case diary and the petitioner apprehends his arrest at the hands of the police. On these grounds, it has been prayed for grant of the anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

On the other hand, the learned Spl. P.P. opposes the prayer of bail submitting that the matter relates to the offence of atrocities upon the member of the scheduled caste and the investigation is going on.

5. The prosecution story, in short, is that the informant Pooja Devi has got an FIR lodged on 15.03.2026 alleging therein that on 14.03.2026 at about 01:00 PM, wife of accused Md. Takim came to her house demanding the cost of fish which was purchased by her brother-in-law from the accused Md. Takim and when she said Takim's wife that her brother-in-law is not in the house, all the accused persons along with others came and started abusing her husband with caste name and also physically assaulted him and when she along with her family members went to rescue her husband, all the accused persons physically assaulted them and

also snatched Rs. 20,000/- and outraged their modesty and also threatened to kill them and hence the FIR.

6. Perused the bail application including its original record along with the case diary (para 1-31) which appears that the para 6 of the case diary describes the place of the occurrence and injuries upon the victim are simple in nature but the several paras of the case diary reveal the statement of the witnesses establishing the factum of the atrocities as alleged in the FIR and the prima-facie materials are available in the case diary regarding the involvement of this petitioner in commission of the alleged atrocities and the investigation is going on and section 18 of the SC/ST (PoA) Act, 1989 creates a specific bar in grant of the anticipatory bail for the offence of atrocities.
7. Considering the above including the nature of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly the prayer of bail made on behalf of the petitioner Md. Ramjan stands ***rejected***.

Dictated
sd/-
(Narendra Kumar)
Special Judge

Memo No. Dated 12.06.2026

Copy of order along with original record of Jankinagar P.S. Case No. 91/2026 is forwarded to the learned Court of Spl. Judge SC/ST (PoA) Act, Purnea for information and needful.

(Narendra Kumar)
Special Judge

Date of Order	11.06.2026
Date of Reserving Order	
Uploading Date	16.06.2026
Uploaded by	Amit Adarsh, Stenographer