

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Principal District & Sessions Judge, Purnea
ABP No.-895/2026 (CIS No. 895/2026)
(Arising out of Sarsi P.S. Case No. 67/2026)
Rambha Devi & Another Vs State of Bihar
Order dated:- 17.06.2026

THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, PURNEA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 895/2026 (CIS No. 895/2026)
(Arising out of Sarsi P.S. Case No. 67/2026)

IN THE MATTER OF:-

1. Rambha Devi, aged about 60 years, W/o Bhola Mandal,
2. Bhola Mandal, aged about 65 years, S/o Triveni Mandal,
Both resident of Village- Dogaha Bhatta, Budhiya Dhanghatta,
P.S. Sarsi, District- Purnea Petitioners.

Versus

State of Bihar O.P.

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
17.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition filed on 29.05.2026, on behalf of the petitioners, namely, (1) Rambha Devi and (2) Bhola Mandal, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sarsi P.S. Case no.- 67/2026 registered for the offence u/s. 80(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. is put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Niranjan Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Sri A.K.Tiwary, learned P.P. appearing for the State. 3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 14.12.2025, the informant's daughter Laxmi Kumari was married to Radhe Kumar, S/o Bhola Mandal, resident of Dhogaha Bhita, Budhiyagola, Dhankatta, P.S. Sarsi, District Purnea. After the marriage, the informant's daughter was treated well for two months and, thereafter, his son-in-law Radhe Kumar, Samdhi Bhola Mandal and Rambha Devi, W/o Bhola Mandal together started demanding a gold chain and cash from his daughter and started harassing her when she did not give it. When the informant's daughter informed the informant about this on mobile phone, he came to her daughter's matrimonial house and tried to convince the accused persons. On 09.04.2026, at around 2:00 a.m., the informant's Samdhi Bhola Mandal called him and said that his daughter Laxmi Kumari has hanged herself and died. Upon receiving the information, the informant went to his daughter's in-laws' house and found that his daughter had died.	

Contd./ 17.06.2026	4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case due to extort money as because due to the love affair is going on before marriage of the daughter of the informant with another person and ultimately, she ended her life in grief of that person. It has been further submitted that CDR of the mobile phone of deceased could be evidence that the deceased did not like her husband. It has been further submitted that an exaggerated story has been propounded by the informant and indulge the petitioners in this case only for harassing attitude and wreak vengeance. The petitioner no. 1 is the mother-in-law and petitioner no. 2 is the father-in-law of deceased Laxmi Kumari and they have clean antecedent. So, prayed for anticipatory bail. On the other hand, learned P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners. 5. I have heard rival submissions of both parties and perused the case record and case dairy. The petitioner no. 1 is the mother-in-law and petitioner no. 2 is the father-in-law of deceased Laxmi Kumari. There is a specific allegation against the petitioners that they killed their daughter-in-law over dowry. It appears that unnatural death of deceased Laxmi Kumari (daughter of the informant) took place in her matrimonial house within a year of her marriage. In the complaint against the accused persons including the petitioners, it was stated that the deceased used to constantly inform the informant about the atrocities being committed against her by her husband and in-laws. Later, the informant received news of his daughter's death at her in-laws' house. In postmortem report cause of death is Asphyxia and venous congestion due to antemortem hanging. What could be the reason behind informant's daughter died at her in-laws' house before even a year of marriage had passed. Investigation is going on against the petitioners. The alleged offence is very serious and	
-------------------------------	--	--

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Principal District & Sessions Judge, Purnea
ABP No.-895/2026 (CIS No. 895/2026)
(Arising out of Sarsi P.S. Case No. 67/2026)
Rambha Devi & Another Vs State of Bihar
Order dated:- 17.06.2026

Contd./ 17.06.2026	heinous in nature. 6. Under the facts and circumstances as discussed above, I am not inclined to give the benefit of section 482 BNSS to the petitioners. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners, namely, Rambha Devi and Bhola Mandal stands <i>rejected</i>. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary) Pr. District & Sessions Judge, Purnea.	
-----------------------	---	--

Date of Judgment/Order -	17.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order -	17.06.2026
Uploading Date -	18.06.2026
Uploaded by -	Dhrubajyoti Lahiri, Stenographer