

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Sessions Judge, Purnea.
ABP No.890 of 2026/CIS No.890 of 2026
(arising out of C.A. Case No.577 of 2024)
Bharat Prasad and another Versus State
Date of Order : 06.6.2026

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PURNEA

ABP No.890 of 2026/CIS No.890 of 2026

1. *Bharat Prasad, aged about 50 years S/o Late Ram Rekha Singh, R/o Maranga, P.S. Maranga, District Purnea.*

2. *Yuvraj Punj Prasad, aged about 36 years S/o Late Nand Kishore Prasad R/o Verma Colony, Bhanbhag, P.S. K.Nagar, District Purnea.* .. *Petitioners.*

Versus

State

.. *Opp.Party.*

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
06.6.2026	Anticipatory Bail Petition filed on behalf of the petitioners, 1. Bharat Prasad and 2.Yuvraj Punj Prasad, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with C.A. Case No.577 of 2024 registered for the offence under sections 420/323 of the IPC is put-up for hearing. A list of documents alongwith copy of Judgment passed in T.S. No.75 of 2004 has been filed on behalf of the petitioners, which is kept on record. 2. Heard Sri Ankit Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners and Sri U.K.Keshari, the learned P.P. I/c appearing for the State. 3. As per complaint petition, the prosecution case, in brief, is that the Rehabilitation Department, government of Bihar allotted some portion of land situated at Banbhag Mauza mentioned in the complaint petition in the name of father of the complainant for rehabilitation of Verma Refugee. On 28.2.2024, accused persons/petitioners tried unsuccessful attempt to dispossess the complainant on the basis of forged agreement as accused persons showed a Panchnama dated 28.1.2021 executed in the name of complainant father as an agreement in favour of his own deceased biological brother Nand Kishore Prasad S/o Ram Rekha Singh on which accused Bharat Prasad signed as the scribe/writer and also as a witness and showed the Panchnama in the name of accused Kalawati Devi on which there is no signature of Manik Chand Prasad. It is further stated that a land	

Contd. 06.6.2026	exchange deed of the rehabilitated land dated 5.1.1986 was shown which bears a forged signature of Manik Chand Prasad alongwith the signature of Nandlal as a witness and on Panchnama dated 28.1.2021, a thumb impression was made and Nand Lal Mehta was written. 4. The learned counsel of the petitioners has submitted that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty family politics. Petitioner No.2 is government eacher He also submitted that Manikchand had filed T.S. No.75 of 2004 relating to land in question and in the said suit Nand Kishore Prasad, who is father of petitioner No.2 is defendant and the competent court had decreed the said suit in favour of father of petitioner No.2. He also submitted that the land in question is registered in the name of petitioners and no fraud has committed by the petitioner No.2 rather complainant has lodged this case against the petitioners only to put pressure upon them to leave the land. Petitioners are men of clean antecedent and there is no chance of their absconding. So, prayed for anticipatory bail. 5. On the contrary learned P.P. I/c opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submitted that petitioners and others in furtherance of their common intention have committed forgery by manufacturing forged agreement with a view to dispossess the complainant from the land allotted by the government of Bihar. 6. I have heard the rival submission of both parties and perused the case record, LCR and documents filed on behalf of the petitioners. From perusal of the same, it appears that prior to institution of this case, there was land dispute between husband of the complainant and ancestor of petitioner No.2 and husband of the complainant, namely, Nand Kishore Prasad had filed Title Suit No.75 of 2004 relating to land to the family for	
---------------------	--	--

Contd.
06.6.2026

partition and father of the petitioner No.2 was the defendant. In the said title suit, the learned Sub-Judge II,Purnea vide Judgment dated 29.6.2015 has held that plaintiffs are not entitled to get any relief and ordered that the suit be and the same is dismissed against defendant Nos. 1 and 2 on contest and Under Order VIII,Rule 5(2) against defendant No.3 but without any cost. Besides that there was previous litigation between both the sides. Moreover, the nature of allegation against these petitioners seems to be civil in nature.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and nature of allegation against the petitioners seems to be civil in nature and there was several litigation between both the sides prior to alleged occurrence and instant case is based on complaint case and petitioners are men of clean antecedent, so, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioners ,namely, 1.Bharat Prasad and 2.Yuvraj Punj Prasad is allowed. They, in the event of surrender within a fortnight from the date of receipt of this order before the Court below or arrest, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, subject to the conditions as laid down u/s. 482 BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Sessions Judge, Purnea

Date of Order	6.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/ order	06.6.2026
Uploading Date	9.6.2026
Uploaded by	Kumar Sanjay,Steno