

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Principal District & Sessions Judge, Purnea
ABP No.-876/2026 (C.I.S. No. 876/2026)
(Arising out of Sarsi P.S. Case No. 72/2026)
Sachin Yadav @ Sachin Kumar Vs. State of Bihar
Order dated:- 03.06.2026

THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, PURNEA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 876/2026 (CIS No. 876/2026)
(Arising out of Sarsi P.S. Case No. 72/2026)

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Sachin Yadav @ Sachin Kumar, aged about 26 years, S/o Kaila Yadav,
@ Somnath Yadav, resident of Village- Burhiya Dhanghatta,
P.S. Sarsi, District Purnea Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar Opposite Party.

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
03.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail application filed on 26.05.2026, on behalf of the petitioner, namely, Sachin Yadav @ Sachin Kumar, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sarsi P.S. Case No. 72/2026 registered for the offence u/s. 137(2), 140(3), 96, 3(5) of B.N.S. is put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Abhay Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri Uday Keshri, learned P.P. I/c appearing for the State. 3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 17.04.2026, at around 5:30 p.m., informant's daughter, aged about 15 years was found missing from home. After some time, the informant came to know that Sachin Yadav had lured his daughter and taken her away with him. That same night, the informant went to Sachin's house with his family members, then Sachin's parents told him that they did not know where both of them had gone. The informant further alleges that he had snatched his daughter's mobile phone from her, broken it and thrown it away, but Karishma and Soni Kumari had taken illegal money from his daughter and made her talk to Sachin Yadav through mobile phone. 4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the victim is a major girl and she has not supported the prosecution case in her statement recorded under section 183 BNSS. The petitioner does not carry any criminal history. So, prayed for anticipatory bail. On the other hand, learned P.P. I/c has opposed the prayer of bail	

Contd. 03.06.2026	of the petitioner. 5. I have heard the rival submission of both parties and perused the original case record. Allegation against the petitioner is of abducting informant's daughter with bad intention. In the course of investigation, the victim girl was recovered and her statement was recorded by the Judicial Magistrate 2nd Class, Purnea u/s. 183 of BNSS. In her statement, the victim (daughter of informant) has specifically stated that she had a love affair with Sachin Yadav @ Sachin Kumar. Due to the objection of her family members, on 17.04.2026, she married Sachin Yadav @ Sachin Kumar in a temple of her own free will. In the said statement, the victim expressed her desire to go to her in-laws' house. The learned Judicial Magistrate assessed the age of victim as 18 years. As such the victim is a major girl and she has the legal right to take her own decisions and live her life. 6. Under the facts and circumstances as discussed above, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner, namely, Sachin Yadav @ Sachin Kumar is allowed. He, in the event of surrender within a fortnight from the date of receipt of this order before the Court below or arrest, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, subject to conditions as laid down u/s. 482 BNSS. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary) Pr. District & Sessions Judge, Purnea.	
----------------------	--	--

Date of Judgment/Order -	03.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order -	03.06.2026
Uploading Date -	09.06.2026
Uploaded by -	Dhrubajyoti Lahiri, Stenographer