

THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, PURNEA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 855/2026 (CIS No. 855/2026)
(Arising out of Maranga P.S. Case No. 17/2024)

IN THE MATTER OF:-

1. Parimal Das, aged about 34 years, S/o Kamal Chand Das, resident of Village- Maranga, P.S. Maranga, District- Purnea.
 2. Chandan Kumar Das, age 36 years, S/o Fokan Das, resident of Village- Satsang Bihar Maranga, P.S. Maranga, District- Purnea Petitioners.
- Versus
- State of Bihar Opposite Party.
-
-

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
11.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition filed on 23.05.2026 on behalf of the petitioners, namely, (1) Parimal Das and (2) Chandan Kumar Das, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Maranga P.S. case no. 17/2024 registered for the offence u/s. 8(c)/21(b) of N.D.P.S. Act is put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri P.S.Parag, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri Shambhu Anand, learned Special P.P. appearing for the State. 3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that on 18.03.2024, at 18:25 hrs., the informant, Rupak Ranjan Singh, S.I.-cum-S.H.O., Maranga P.S. received a secret information that a person named Uttam Das is selling brown sugar (smack) in Satsang Vihar Ward No. 09. For its verification and necessary action, he along with associated armed force and a Magistrate reached at Uttam Das's house in Satsang Vihar and caught Uttam Das. Seeing the police action, many people gathered nearby, but none of them agreed to become a witness. Then the informant, after considering two policemen	

Contd. 11.06.2026	from the accompanying raiding team as witnesses, searched the apprehended person Uttam Das and recovered a substance resembling brown sugar (smack) wrapped in a white foil and a Motorola company's screen touch mobile phone and a Hero company's keypad mobile phone from his conscious possession. The weight of the recovered brown sugar (smack) including the plastic was found to be 21 grams. Thereafter, the informant seized all the recovered articles and a seizure list was prepared by him. On being asked about the recovered brown sugar (smack), accused Uttam Das said that he had brought it from Dalkola, West Bengal to sell it. Then his confessional statement regarding his involvement in smack trade and criminal record has been taken separately by the informant. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner no. 1 Parimal Das has a clean antecedent but the petitioner no. 2 Chandan Kumar Das has got one case vide Maranga P.S. Case No. 09/2026 in which he is on bail. He has further submitted that names of the petitioners cropped up in this case on the basis of confessional statement of apprehended co-accused Uttam Das. He has further submitted that neither of the petitioners was apprehended by the police at the scene, nor was any incriminating article recovered from them. The petitioners are local men and there is no chance of their absconding. So, prayed for anticipatory bail. On the other hand, learned Special P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners. 5. I have heard the rival submission of both the parties and perused the case record and case diary. From perusal	
------------------------------	---	--

Contd. 11.06.2026	of written report of the informant it appears that on the alleged day of incident, informant being a police officer caught co-accused Uttam Das based on a secret information and recovered 21 grams brown sugar (smack) wrapped in a white foil and other articles from him. Co-accused Uttam Das in his confessional statement confessed his guilt and said that he is involved in the illegal business of brown sugar (smack) in collaboration with the petitioners, who act as suppliers. Prima facie it appears that petitioner is involved in the illegal business of Smack/Brown Sugar. Hence, a custodial interrogation of petitioner is required. Investigation of the case is going on. 6. In such a situation, I am not inclined to give the benefit of section 482 BNSS to the petitioners. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners, namely, Parimal Das and Chandan Kumar Das is, hereby, rejected. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary) Pr. District & Sessions Judge, Purnea.	
----------------------	--	--

Date of Judgment/Order -	11.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order -	11.06.2026
Uploading Date -	15.06.2026
Uploaded by -	Dhrubajyoti Lahiri, Stenographer