

Bail Appln. No. 1014/26
STATE VS. VANDANA
FIR No. 0152/26
PS-AMBEDKAR NAGAR

29.05.2026

The matter is taken up today as 28.05.2026 was declared a holiday on account of Eid.

Present :- Sh. Jagdamba Pandey, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Sh. Amit Kumar, Sh. Naveen Kumar, Sh. Karamveer Tanwar, Sh. Sandeep Kumar and Sh. Rajiya Sultan, Ld. Counsels for the accused.

This is an application u/s 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of accused Vandana.

Reply filed by IO SI Ramphool.

1.0 It is submitted on behalf of the applicant / accused that recovered quantity of ganja is an intermediate quantity and the rigors of Sec. 37 NDPS Act are not applicable in this case. It is further submitted that necessary compliance of the provisions of Sec. 42 and Sec. 50 NDPS Act were not complied by the police officials. It is further submitted that no public witnesses were joined in the proceedings of this case nor was the accused informed about her grounds of arrest. It is submitted that no purpose would be served by long incarceration of the accused. It is further submitted that she has one minor son to be looked after. It is further submitted that she is ready and willing to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court, if granted bail. In support, Ld. Counsel relied upon *Karnail Singh Vs. State of Haryana*, (2009) 8 SCC 539, *State of Punjab Vs. Baldev Singh* (1999) 6 SCC 172, *Vijaysinh Chandubha Jadeja Vs. State of Gujarat* (2011) 1 SCC 609, *Arif Khan Vs. State of Uttarakhand* (2018) 18 SCC 380, *Roop Chand Vs. State of Haryana*, (1999) 1 SCC 435, *Pankaj Bansal Vs. Union*

of India, (2023) 7 SCC 385, *Mihir Rajesh Shah Vs. State of Maharashtra & Anr.*, 2025 INSC 1288, *Union of India Vs. Shiv Shanker Kesari* (2007) 7 SCC 798, *Rabi Prakash Vs. State of Odisha*, *Gudikanti Naarasimhulu Vs. Public Prosecutor* (1978) 1 SCC 240 and *Kailash Gour Vs. State of Assam*, (2012) 2 SCC 34.

1.1 Ld. Addl. PP for State opposed the bail application on the ground of seriousness of the offence.

2.0 Arguments heard. Record perused.

3.0 Investigation against the present applicant has already been completed and chargesheet has also been filed. There is nothing to suggest that custodial interrogation of the present applicant is required for any purpose. 1687 gms of ganja was recovered from the accused which falls under the intermediate category and therefore, rigors of Sec. 37 NDPS Act are also not applicable. Further, the accused is stated to be a first time offender and a woman. Having regard to overall facts and circumstances and period of custody, no purpose would be served by further detention of the accused. Accordingly, **the applicant / accused Vandana is admitted to bail on her furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount.**

Needless to say that nothing expressed herein above shall tantamount to expression of opinion on merits of the case.

Application stand disposed of accordingly.

Copy of the order be sent to Jail Supdt via email.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

(Ajay Garg)
Special Judge-NDPS/ASJ (South)
Saket Courts/29.05.2026