

THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, PURNEA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 817/2026 (C.I.S. No. 817/2026)
(Arising out of Muffasil P.S. Case No. 106/2026)

IN THE MATTER OF:-

1. Sudesh Yadav, age 49 years, S/o Late Basudev Yadav,
 2. Arti Devi, age 38 years, W/o Sudesh Yadav,
 3. Chandan Kumar, age 21 years, S/o Sudesh Yadav,
 4. Priya Kumari, age 20 years, W/o Chandan Kumar,
 5. Parwati Kumari, age 19 years, D/o Sudesh Yadav,
 6. Sarswati Kumari, age 18 years, D/o Sudesh Yadav,
- All are resident of Sri Nagar, Ward No. 01,
P.S. Muffasil, District Purnea Petitioners.
Vs.
State of Bihar Opposite Party.

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
06.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition filed on 19.05.2026, on behalf of the petitioners, namely, 1. Sudesh Yadav, 2. Arti Devi, 3. Chandan Kumar, 4. Priya Kumari, 5. Parwati Kumari and 6. Sarswati Kumari, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S. case no.- 106/2026 registered for the offence under sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S. is put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Ashish Kumar Roy, the learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri A.K.Tiwary, the learned P.P. appearing for the State. 3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 02.05.2026 at 06:00 a.m., the informant was scolding his younger son for some issue. Meanwhile, informant's brother Sudesh Yadav, age 48 years took the same issue upon himself and started abusing him. The informant told him that he was not saying anything to him, he was talking to his son. On hearing this, Sudesh Yadav became furious and started assaulting the informant. Then he went to his house and brought Dabiya and hit him on the head, due to which his head got injured and started bleeding. The informant fell unconscious on the ground.	

Contd. 06.06.2026	When his son came to his rescue, accused Chandan Yadav injured his head with an iron spade. Informant's wife was assaulted by accused Arti Devi, Priya Devi, Parwati Kumari and Saraswati Kumari. Hearing the commotion, people from the neighborhood came and took the informant and his son to G.M.C.H., Purnea, for treatment. 4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent. No incident of any kind has been caused by the petitioners to the informant or any member of his family. It has been further submitted that with a view to usurp the share of land of petitioner no. 1, this case has been filed by the informant. The I.O. of this case has not recovered any weapon from the place of occurrence. The petitioners have clean antecedent. So, prayed for anticipatory bail. On the other hand, the learned P.P. I/c has strongly opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners. 5. I have heard the rival submission of both parties and perused the case record and case diary. From perusal of the same it appears that the petitioners are named accused in the F.I.R. Due to a domestic dispute, informant's brother Sudesh Yadav (petitioner no. 1) and his family members brutally assaulted the informant, his son and wife and caused them serious injuries. There is a specific allegation against petitioner no. 1 Sudesh Yadav of injuring the informant by hitting him on the head with a Dabiya and there is a specific allegation against petitioner no. 3 Chandan Kumar of injuring informant's son Bharat Kumar by hitting him on the head with a spade. According to the injury report of the informant - (i) laceration wound over scalp with bleeding size 5 cm.x 0.5 cm. x skin depth, (ii) Periorbital edema with small laceration over left eye region and left ear and (iii) multiple abrasion over bilateral forearm and hand. His injury was found grievous in nature caused by hard and blunt	
----------------------	--	--

Contd. 06.06.2026	substance. Informant's son Bharat Kumar also sustained head injury but his injury was found simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. There is no specific allegation against petitioner numbers 2, 4, 5 and 6. 6. Viewing the specific allegation against petitioner no. 1 and petitioner no. 3 of assaulting the informant on their heads and injured them badly, I am not inclined to give them the benefit of section 482 BNSS. Therefore, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner no. 1, Sudesh Yadav and petitioner no. 3 Chandan Kumar stands rejected. But, petitioner numbers 2, 4, 5 and 6 are women and there is no specific allegation against them of assaulting informant's wife rather it is omnibus and general in nature. Hence, prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner numbers 2, 4, 5 and 6, namely, Arti Devi, Priya Kumari, Parwati Kumari and Sarswati Kumari is allowed. In the event of their arrest or surrender within a fortnight from the date of receipt of this order, they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, subject to conditions as laid down u/s. 482 BNSS. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary) Pr. District & Sessions Judge, Purnea.	
----------------------	--	--

Date of Judgment/Order -	06.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order -	06.06.2026
Uploading Date -	09.06.2026
Uploaded by -	Dhrubajyoti Lahiri, Stenographer