

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Principal District & Sessions Judge, Purnea
ABP No.-808/2026 (C.I.S. No. 808/2026)
(Arising out of Baisi P.S. Case No. 117/2026)
Md. Nasim Akhtar Vs. State of Bihar
Order dated:- 03.06.2026

THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, PURNEA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 808/2026 (CIS No. 808/2026)
(Arising out of Baisi P.S. Case No. 117/2026)

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Md. Nasim Akhtar, aged about 38 years, S/o Md. Idrish,
of Marwa, P.S. Baisi, District Purnea Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar Opposite Party.

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
03.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail application filed on 19.05.2026, on behalf of the petitioner, namely, Md. Nasim Akhtar, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Baisi P.S. Case No. 117/2026 registered for the offence u/s. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 117(2), 85, 352, 351(2) of B.N.S. is put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Deepak Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri Uday Keshri, learned P.P. I/c appearing for the State. 3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that informant's husband, who is a drug addict and carries illegal weapons with him, attacked the informant with a sharp-edged weapon on 24.03.2026, at around 9 a.m. and injured her. The informant further alleges that her mother-in-law Noorsaba Khatoon and Idrish Alam also assaulted her with fists and slaps and kicks. She somehow escaped and reached at PHC, Baisi for her medical treatment, from where she was referred to G.M.C.H., Purnea for better treatment. 4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the dispute between the informant and the petitioner has been resolved and both are residing together peacefully. The petitioner undertakes that he will take care and maintain his wife (informant) as per his financial capacity. So, prayed for anticipatory bail. On the other hand, learned P.P. I/c has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner. 5. I have heard the rival submission of both parties and perused the	

Contd. 03.06.2026	case record. From perusal of the record, it appears that the present case is related to marital dispute and petitioner is the husband of the informant. The petitioner has a specific allegation of beating and injuring his wife. Today, the informant and the petitioner together appear in court. The informant says that whatever disputes she had with her husband and other in-laws have been resolved through compromise. Now, she is living a happy life with her husband and other in-laws. From the perusal of certified copy of compromise petition it appears that all the disputes have been settled and both parties have been living peacefully. The petitioner says that he will take proper care of his wife (informant) and bear her expenses as per his capacity. Good sense has been developed between the parties. 6. Under the facts and circumstances as discussed above, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner, namely, Md. Nasim Akhtar is allowed. He, in the event of surrender within a fortnight from the date of receipt of this order before the Court below or arrest, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, subject to the conditions as laid down u/s. 482 BNSS subject to conditions that – (1) the petitioner will not indulge himself in similar type of offence in future and (2) if the informant makes such a complaint against the petitioner again, then learned court below is in liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary) Pr. District & Sessions Judge, Purnea.	
----------------------	---	--

Date of Judgment/Order -	03.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order -	03.06.2026
Uploading Date -	09.06.2026
Uploaded by -	Dhrubajyoti Lahiri, Stenographer