

THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, PURNEA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 746/2026 (CIS No. 746/2026)
(Arising out of Akbarpur P.S. Case No. 38/2026)

IN THE MATTER OF:-

1. Kailash Ram, age 50 years, S/o Late Sohan Ram,
 2. Pawan Ram @ Pawan Kr. Ram, age 30 years, S/o Kailash Ram,
 3. Chetan Ram @ Pintu Ram, age 26 years, S/o Kailash Ram,
 4. Prince Kumar, age 24 years, S/o Kailash Ram,
 5. Bina Devi, age 48 years, W/o Kailash Ram,
 6. Anju Devi, age 22 years, W/o Chetan Ram @ Pintu Ram,
- All R/o Village- Lathi, P.S. Akbarpur, District- Purnea ... Petitioners.
Vs.

State of Bihar O.P.

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
09.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition filed on 11.05.2026, on behalf of the petitioners, namely, 1. Kailash Ram, 2. Pawan Ram @ Pawan Kr. Ram, 3. Chetan Ram @ Pintu Ram, 4. Prince Kumar, 5. Bina Devi and 6. Anju Devi, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Akbarpur P.S. Case No. 38/2026 registered for the offence u/s. 87, 3(5), 115(2), 352, 351(2)(3) of BNS is put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Pradip Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the petitioners and Sri Uday Keshri, Ld. P.P. I/c appearing for the State. 3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 27.03.2026, at around 5:00 a.m., informant's daughter Pallavi Kumari, aged about 19 years had gone to her Basa from the village towards the canal. After a long time when she did not return home, the informant started searching for her along with his family members. Then villagers informed him that they saw Sujit Kumar Ram taking his daughter on a motorcycle towards the east along the canal route. Then the informant went to the house of Sujit Kumar and asked his family members about his daughter. On this matter, they became angry and abused and assaulted him. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent. Neither the petitioners committed any such crime, nor they have any connection to the incident. The petitioners are local men having clean antecedent and there is no chance of their absconding. So, prayed for anticipatory bail.	

Contd./ 09.06.2026	On the other hand, Ld. P.P. I/c has opposed the prayer of bail. of the petitioners. 5. I have heard the rival submission of both parties and perused the L.C.R. and case diary. Allegation against the petitioners is of abducting informant's daughter with the intention of getting her married to co-accused Sujit Kumar Ram. In the course of investigation, the victim was recovered and her statement was recorded by the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Purnea u/s. 183 of BNSS. In her statement, the victim (daughter of informant) has specifically stated that she had a love affair with Sujit Kumar Ram (co-accused). Due to the objection of her family members, she married Sujit Kumar Ram of her own free will. In the said statement, the victim expressed her desire to go to her in-laws' house. The victim has not mentioned anything about the petitioners in her statement. Learned Judicial Magistrate assessed the age of victim as 19 years. As such the victim is a major girl and she has the legal right to take her own decisions and live her life. 6. Under the facts and circumstances as discussed above, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners, namely, Kailash Ram, Pawan Ram @ Pawan Kr. Ram, Chetan Ram @ Pintu Ram, Prince Kumar, Bina Devi and Anju Devi is allowed. They in the event of surrender within a fortnight from the date of receipt of this order before the court below or arrest, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, subject to the conditions as laid down u/s. 482 BNSS. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary) Pr. District & Sessions Judge, Purnea.	
-----------------------	--	--

Date of Judgment/Order -	09.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order -	09.06.2026
Uploading Date -	11.06.2026
Uploaded by -	Dhrubajyoti Lahiri, Stenographer