

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Principal Sessions Judge, Purnea.
BP No.186 of 2026/CIS No.186 of 2026
(arising out of Sadar P.S. Case No.25 of 2026)
Vikash @ Vikash Kumar Versus State
Date of Order : 19.3.2026

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PURNEA

B.P. No.186 of 2026/CIS No.186 of 2026

Vikash @ Vikash Kumar, aged about 35 years S/o Ramavriksha Sav, R/o Hadsa,
P.S. Hisua, District Nawada. .. Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar

.. Opp.Party.

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
19.3.2026	Bail Petition filed on behalf of the petitioner,namely, Vikash @ Vikash Kumar, who is in judicial custody 14.1.2026 in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No.25 of 2026 registered for the offence under sections 103(1),,61(2) and 3(5) of the BNS is put-up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Rishav Anand, learned counsel for petitioner and Sri U.K.Keshari, the learned P.P. appearing for the State. 3. As per written report of the Informant, the prosecution case,in brief, is that in the intervening night of 12.1.2026, the FIR named accused persons including this petitioner after hatching a conspiracy in pre-planned in furtherance of their common intention, committed murder of brother of the Informant Md.Afjal in Room No.210 of Aditay Hotel,Purnea and dead body of the deceased was jamged [artoa;;u by the accused persons with a view to screen themselves from the legal punishment. He also stated in his written report that from the CCTV footage of the Hotel, it is crystal clear on the date and time of occurrence, seven persons including this petitioner were inside the room. Police arrested petitioner Vikas from the Hotel and the said room Number 210 of the Hotel was booked in his nameand police also arrested co-accused Sintu from another place. 4. The learned counsel of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He also	

Contd. 19.3.2026	submitted that petitioner came after the time of death. Petitioner has no transaction directly with the deceased. The deceased died due to strangulation. He also submitted that all named accused and deceased were business friend but the petitioner was at Kolkatta and petitioner entered in Hotel at 12.30 P.M. after the occurrence and police arrested him. There is no eye witness of the occurrence to connect this petitioner in the alleged occurrence. No incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner. Petitioner has got no motive at all to commit such an offence or to assist in committing such an offence as alleged in the FIR. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 14.1.2026. So, prayed for bail. 5. On the other hand, the learned P.P. has opposed the prayer of bail. 6. I have heard the rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record, case diary. From perusal of the same, it is case of murder of brother of the Informant Afjal and this petitioner is named in the FIR with allegation that all the FIR named accused persons including this petitioner in pre-planned manner after hatching a conspiracy in furtherance of their common intention, committed the murder of the deceased and dead body of deceased was partially hanged with Gamchha from the window. From perusal of para 11 of the case diary, Room No.210 booked in Adity Hotel, Purnea in the name of petitioner Vikash Kumar, who was arrested on the spot by the police and on the basis of CCTV footage, Police has mentioned the entry time and exit time of this petitioner and co-accused persons in the said room. It also appears that at about 11.42 hours, deceased sent whatsapp message to the Informant Tahsin Raja @ Raja. On 12.1.2026, the entry time of this petitioner mentioned as 12:30:06 and Exit	
---------------------	--	--

Contd.
19.3.2026

time if mentioned as 12:30:30 hours in the said hotel and police apprehended the petitioner on the spot i.e. place of occurrence. This petitioner in his confessional statement narrated the entire prosecution version as well as his involvement and involvement of other accused persons in the alleged occurrence. Witnesses have supported the prosecution version and investigation of the case is still pending.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, manner of allegation against this petitioner is heinous in nature being one of assailant of the deceased and dead body was partially hanged with Gamchha, which corroborates from para 11 of the case diary, the room No.210 of the said hotel, which is place of occurrence is booked in the name of this petitioner and police arrested this petitioner on the spot and investigation of the case is still pending, so, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner,namely, Vikash @ Vikash Kumar on bail. Hence, his prayer of bail stands rejected.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Principal Sessions Judge,Purnea.

Date of Order	19.3.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/ order	19.03.2026
Uploading Date	25.3.2026
Uploaded by	Kumar Sanjay,Steno