

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Principal Sessions Judge, Purnea.
ABP No.323 of 2026/CIS No.323 of 2026
(arising out of Baisi P.S. Case No.30 of 2026)
Minouti Devi @ Minati Devi Versus State
Date of Order : 19.3.2026

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PURNEA

A.B.P. No.323 of 2026/CIS No.323 of 2026

Minouti Devi @ Minati Devi, aged about 37 years W/o Baijnath Sharma, R/o Charaiya, P.S. Baisi, District Purnea. .. Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar

.. Opp.Party.

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
19.3.2026	Anticipatory Bail Petition filed on behalf of the petitioner, namely, Minouti Devi @ Minati Devi, who is apprehending her arrest in connection with Baisi P.S. Case No.30 of 2026 registered for the offence under sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the BNS is put-up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Deepak Kumar, learned counsel for petitioner and Sri U.K.Keshari, the learned P.P. appearing for the State. 3. As per written report of the Informant, the prosecution case, in brief, is that daughter of the Informant, namely, Parwati Devi was married with co-accused Aadesh Sharma 20 years ago. During wed lock, she was blessed two children. It is further stated that an illicit relation is going on of her son-in-law with this petitioner. On 19.1.2026 at about 9 P.M., daughter of the Informant (deceased) informed the Informant on mobile that when protested the illicit relation of her husband with this petitioner then her husband abused and assaulted her and this her last call. On 20.1.2026 at about 7 A.M., Informant received information that dead body of his daughter Parwati Devi is laying by the side of Sathiar Kabristan hanging with tree. It is further alleged that husband of the deceased and this petitioner in furtherance of their common intention of both committed murder of his daughter.	

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Principal Sessions Judge, Purnea.
ABP No.323 of 2026/CIS No.323 of 2026
(arising out of Baisi P.S. Case No.30 of 2026)
Minouti Devi @ Minati Devi Versus State
Date of Order : 19.3.2026

Contd. 19.3.2026	4. The learned counsel of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and the entire allegation levelled against the petitioner are false and concocted. There is no eye witness of the occurrence and there is no legal evidence against this petitioner regarding involvement of the petitioner behind the alleged occurrence. No incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Petitioner is a lady and she has no concern with co-accused. Petitioner is a married woman and she is leading conjugal life with her husband peacefully. Petitioner is a woman of clean antecedent. So, prayed for anticipatory bail. 5. On the other hand, the learned P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail. 6. I have heard the rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record, case diary. From perusal of the same, it is case of murder of daughter of the Informant Parwati Devi and this petitioner is named in the FIR with allegation that husband of the deceased and this petitioner being lover of husband of the deceased in furtherance of their common intention committed murder of the deceased as deceased being wife of co-accused Aadesh Sharma protested the illicit relation of her husband with this petitioner. From perusal of the Post mortem report of the deceased, it appears that doctor has opined the cause of death of the deceased is Asphyxia and Venous congestion caused due to strangulation by ligature and the hanging of body is opined as Post mortem hanging. It means death of deceased is unnatural and after death the body was hanged to show case of suicide but doctor opinion the hanging was post mortem. Witnesses have supported the	
---------------------	---	--

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Principal Sessions Judge, Purnea.
ABP No.323 of 2026/CIS No.323 of 2026
(arising out of Baisi P.S. Case No.30 of 2026)
Minouti Devi @ Minati Devi Versus State
Date of Order : 19.3.2026

Contd. 19.3.2026	prosecution version as well as motive behind the occurrence and investigation of the case is still pending. 7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, manner of allegation against this petitioner is heinous in nature and investigation of the case is still pending, so, it is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner,namely,Minouti Devi @ Minati Devi is,hereby, rejected. (Dictated) Sd/- Principal Sessions Judge,Purnea. <table border="1"><tr><td>Date of Order</td><td>19.3.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Date of Reserving Judgment/ order</td><td>19.03.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Uploading Date</td><td>25.3.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Uploaded by</td><td>Kumar Sanjay,Steno</td></tr></table>	Date of Order	19.3.2026	Date of Reserving Judgment/ order	19.03.2026	Uploading Date	25.3.2026	Uploaded by	Kumar Sanjay,Steno
Date of Order	19.3.2026								
Date of Reserving Judgment/ order	19.03.2026								
Uploading Date	25.3.2026								
Uploaded by	Kumar Sanjay,Steno								