

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Sessions Judge, Purnea.
ABP No.256 of 2026/CIS No.256 of 2026
(arising out of C.A. Case No.425 of 2022)
Md.Salam @ Salamuddin and another Versus State
Date of Order : 23.03.2026

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PURNEA

A.B.P. No.256 of 2026/CIS No.256 of 2026

1. Md.Salam @ Salamuddin, aged about 65 years S/o Late Khalil and

2. Bibi Bano, aged about 60 years W/o Salam @ Salamuddin, Both R/o village Sisauna Gayari, P.S. Araria, District Araria. .. Petitioners.

Versus

State

.. Opp.Party.

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
23.03.2026	Anticipatory Bail Petition filed on behalf of the petitioners, 1. Md. Salam @ Salamuddin and 2. Bibi Bano, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with C.A. Case No.425 of 2022 registered for the offence under sections 498(A) of the IPC and 4 Dowry Protection Act is put-up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Md.Zaheer, learned counsel for petitioner and Sri A.K.Tiwary, the learned P.P. appearing for the State. 3. As per complaint petition, the prosecution case, in brief, is that on 24.6.2018 complainant has solemnized marriage with co-accused Md. Masum according to Muslim rites and ritual. After marriage, she had gone to matrimonial house with gifted articles and lead conjugal life with her husband peacefully for one year. During the wed lock, complainant had blessed two children. Thereafter, all the accused persons including these petitioners being in-laws of the complainant started torturing the complainant mentally and physically for fulfillment of cash for purchasing tempo as dowry. Her parents tried to pacify the	

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Sessions Judge, Purnea.
ABP No.256 of 2026/CIS No.256 of 2026
(arising out of C.A. Case No.425 of 2022)
Md.Salam @ Salamuddin and another Versus State
Date of Order : 23.03.2026

Contd. 23.03.2026	dispute but in veined. Lastly, on 12.3.2022 at about 12 Noon, all the accused persons including these petitioners ousted her from the matrimonial house after abusing and threat. 4. The learned counsel of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case only with a view to harass the petitioners being in-laws of the complainant. These petitioners are ready to keep the complainant with all respect as daughter-in-law but complainant doesnot want to live with them as she is working Sewika and is residing at parent's house and her husband is working in Delhi. Moreover, there is no specific allegation against the petitioners. So, prayed for anticipatory bail. 5. On the contrary learned P.P. though opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioners but fairly conceded the fact that these petitioners are in-laws and there is no specific allegation against the petitioners. 6. I have heard the rival submission of both parties and perused the case record. From perusal of the same, it appears that it is a case of matrimonial dispute and these petitioners are in-laws of the complainant and there is no specific allegations against them. It also appears that husband of the complainant is working in Delhi and she is working as Sewika and is residing at her parent's house. Petitioners being in-laws of the complainant are ready to keep the complainant with all respect as daughter in-law. 7. Considering the fact and circumstances discussed above specially the fact that these petitioners are in-laws of the alleged	
----------------------	--	--

Kanhaiya Jee Choudhary
Sessions Judge, Purnea.
ABP No.256 of 2026/CIS No.256 of 2026
(arising out of C.A. Case No.425 of 2022)
Md.Salam @ Salamuddin and another Versus State
Date of Order : 23.03.2026

Contd. 23.3.2026	victim in a case of matrimonial dispute and there is no specific allegation against them, so, the anticipatory bail of the petitioners, namely, 1. Md. Salam @ Salmauddin and 2. Bibi Bano is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within fortnight from the receipt of this order with the conditions as laid down u/s 482 BNSS. One of the bailor should be territorial jurisdiction of Purnea district. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- Sessions Judge, Purnea.	
---------------------	--	--