

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Masaurhi
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 617/2025
[Masaurhi P.S.Case No. 515/2025]

1. **Lali Yadav**, aged about 40 years, S/o- Vineshwari Yadav
2. **Karu Yadav**, aged about 56 years, S/o- Late Devsharan Yadav
3. **Sakal Dev Yadav**, aged about 45 years, S/o- Kaji Yadav
4. **Sohrai Yadav**, aged about 45 years, S/o- Late Rajendra Chaudhary,
All R/o-Village-Tarpura, P.S.-Masaurhi, District- Patna.

..... Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Addl. Public Prosecutor

..... Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 & 484 of BNSS

For the petitioner/s : Mr. Vibhuti Kumar Vatsya, Ld. Advocate
For the O.P. State : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Addl. P.P.
For the informant : Mr. Kumar Gaurav, Ld. Advocate

ORDER

Dated: 06.03.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **1. Lali Yadav 2. Karu Yadav 3. Sakaldeo Yadav 4. Soharai Yadav**, who are apprehending to his arrest in connection with **Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 515/2025** for offence punishable **U/s 191(2), 126(2), 308(2), 303(2), 352, 351(2) of BNS** which is pending in the court of the SDJM, Masaurhi, is being pressed today and heard.

The case of prosecution on the basis of written information given by the Shiv Kumar Sharma is that on 27.06.2025 at about 11:00 A.M., he and his son and other workers came to his land on plot no. 172 & 173 in mauza Tarpura, then his villagers Karu Yadav, Sohrai Yadav, Lali Yadav, Munna Kumar, Shakaldev Yadav and three other associates came there and started to abuse filthly. They demanded Rs. 10 lakhs by way of Rangdari and on protest, Sohrai Yadav and Lali Yadav took out pistols and threatned him to remain quiet else he would be shot. Karu Yadav, Sohrai Yadav and Lali Yadav took out Rs. 4,000/- from his pocket. Then they threatened his son to give the amount of Rangdari within 10 days and only then they would let him cultivate the field.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners has submitted that petitioners have never moved earlier any bail petition either anticipatory or regular before this Court or before the Hon'ble Court. Petitioners have got criminal antecedent. Petitioners are quite innocent,

committed no offence and has been falsely and wrongly implicated in this case. The informant Shiv Kumar Sharma is a big litigant who often files cases against his brother Amarnath Sharma and his men by making false allegation. It is further alleged that there is land related dispute which has been made a case of extortion and theft by making false allegations. Petitioner is law abiding citizen and will not abscond or tamper the evidence. Petitioner is ready to furnish sufficient sureties.

On the other hand the learned Addl. P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners abovenamed.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of informant filed objection and submitted that the petitioner Lali Yadav is accused in five criminal cases, Karu Yadav in six criminal cases and Sakal Dev Yadav in six criminal cases. The petitioners have also been committing such type of incident after this case. The petitioners are habitual offenders. Hence petitioners does not deserve to be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused the case record as well as the case diary. There appears to be many cases pending against the petitioners which are of similar nature. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that most of the cases pending against the petitioners have been lodged by the same informant. The witnesses in para 6,7 has stated about the demand of Rangdari of Rs. 10 lakhs. The petitioner appears to be habitually committing such type of offences as reflected in paras 40 wherein there are five criminal antecedent of Lali Yadav and Karu Yadav, four criminal antecedent of Sakal Dev Yadav and Sohrai Yadav.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances this is not apt case to grant privilege of anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners, hence their prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby **rejected**. They are directed to surrender in the court below and seek for regular bail, which shall be decided on its merit. Accordingly, aforesaid bail petition is hereby disposed off.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

**(Sankash Chandra)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I,
Masaurhi**