

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Masaurhi
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 603/2025
[Dhanarua P.S.Case No.517/2025]

1. **Dharmsheel Devi**, aged about 35 years W/o- Sunil Kumar,
R/o-Village-Pil Kajariya, P.S-Dhanarua, District- Patna.

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Addl. Public Prosecutor

..... **Opposite Party**

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 of BNS

For the petitioner/s : Mr. Lallu Prasad Yadav, Advocate
For the O.P. State : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Addl. P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. Vimal Kumar, Advocate

ORDER

Dated: 12.03.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner **Dharmsheela Devi** who is apprehending her arrest in connection with **Dhanarua P.S. Case No.517/2025** for offence punishable **U/ss 103(1), 3(5) of BNS**, which is pending in the court of the S.D.J.M., Masaurhi is being pressed and heard today.

The case of the prosecution as per the written information given by Prince Kumar is that on 22.08.2025 at about 07.00 PM his younger brother Vicky Kumar at about 12 years was looking at the mobile of neighbour. Vicky Kumar did not return till late night and then on 23.08.2025 at about 12.00 hrs., they came to give information in the police station and then the villagers informed him on mobile that the dead body of Vicky Kumar was found near the Dargah and thereafter they went to the place of occurrence with the police officials and saw that his brother was strangulated and was buried inside the soil. It was further alleged that there was land dispute with his neighbour Ravi Kumar's father namely Sunil Prasad since last 2-3 years and about 04 days back Sunil Prasad had threatened to kill his family members. It is further alleged that wife and son of Sunil Prasad frequently quarrelled with them due to the land dispute. It was suspected that all of them committed murder of the Vicky Kumar.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has not earlier moved her bail petition either before this court or before the Hon'ble Court. Petitioner has no previous criminal antecedent as mentioned in bail application. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. Petitioner is quite innocent and has been falsely and wrongly

implicated in this case. There is no occurrence as alleged by or in the manner as alleged by the prosecution ever took place. No one has seen the occurrence even informant or any other witnesses. Petitioner has been implicated in this case due to suspicion. Alleged offence U/s 103(2), 3(5) BNS is not at all applicable against the petitioner. Petitioner is man of means and is ready to give sureties to the satisfaction of the court. There is no chance of his absconding or tampering with evidence.

On the other hand the learned Addl. P.P. for the State as well as Ld. Counsel for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Heard the argument of both sides and perused the case record as well as the LCR and the case diary. The witnesses in paras-6, 8, 9, 45, 46, 47 & 48 of the case diary have stated about the land dispute between the parties. The supervisory authority has found the case true against the petitioner. Para-40 of the case diary shows no criminal antecedent of the petitioner. The postmortem report reveals head injury and the nature of violence was due to hard and blunt object and forceful impact. The case is still under investigation. The allegation is serious of having committed the murder of a boy.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances this is not apt case to grant privilege of anticipatory bail in favour of petitioner, hence her prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby **Rejected**. Accordingly, aforesaid bail petition is hereby disposed off.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Sankash Chandra)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I,
Masaurhi