

**In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Masaurhi**  
**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 324/2025**  
**[Masaurhi P.S.Case No. 393/2025]**

1. **Monu Kumar**, aged about 19 years, S/o- Santosh Kumar, R/o Vill. Berra, P.S.  
Masaurhi, Dist. Patna

..... Petitioner

**Versus**

1. State of Bihar through Addl. Public Prosecutor

..... Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 & 484 of BNSS

---

**For the petitioner/s** : Mr. Bishwanath Prasad Yadav, Ld. Advocate

**For the O.P. State** : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Addl. P.P.

---

**ORDER**

**Dated:**

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Monu Kumar**, who is apprehending to his arrest in connection with **Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 393/2025** for offence punishable **U/s 137(2), 96 of BNS** which is pending in the court of the SDJM, Masaurhi, is being pressed today and heard.

The case of prosecution on the basis of written information given by the Urmila Kumari is that on 18.05.2025 at about 09:00 hrs, her daughter Nibha Kumari, aged about 16 years, went to Azad Coaching for study. At about 01:00 P.M. she received a message from mobile no. 7061875314 by Monu Kumar that he has married her daughter. Then the informant and others went for search for her daughter and made inquiry in aforesaid coaching and they came to know that Nibha Kumari had not come to the coaching. She suspected that the Monu Kumar, in whose name the message was received from the above mentioned mobile number, had enticed her daughter for the purpose of marriage.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners has submitted that petitioner has never moved earlier any bail petition either anticipatory or regular before this Court or before the Hon'ble Court. There is no any criminal antecedent against petitioner. Petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner is a student and he is made accused in false case. Petitioner does not know the victim girl. Alleged section 96 BNS is not specific against the petitioner. Petitioner is willing to accept any condition as imposed by court. Petitioner is ready to furnish sufficient sureties.

On the other hand the learned Addl. P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners abovenamed.

Heard. The statement of the victim recorded u/s 183 of BNSS wherein, she stated that she had obtained less marks in the board examination. Out of anger, she went to her maternal grand mother house and when her maternal grand mother came to know that she had come without giving information at her house, her maternal grand mother called upon her father and she went to her house with her father. S.I. Masaurhi has submitted a letter through the Prosecution Officer that in this Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 393/2025 dated 18.05.2025, final form has been submitted as mistake of facts.

Considering the above, as final form has been submitted, there is no apprehension of arrest. Hence, this anticipatory bail petition is **disposed off**.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

**(Dictated)**

**Sd/-**

**(Sankash Chandra)  
Addl. Sessions Judge-I,  
Masaurhi**