

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Masaurhi
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 318/2026
[Punpun P.S.Case No.172/2026]

1. Suraj Kumar, aged about 25 years, S/o- Manoj Paswan,
R/o- Jalalpur, P.S.-Kebada O.P. (Punpun), Distt.- Patna
..... **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Addl. Public Prosecutor
..... **Opposite Party**

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 and 484 of BNSS

For the petitioner/s : Sri Anil Kumar, Ld. Advocate

For the O.P. State : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Addl. P.P.

ORDER

Dated: 28.07.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Suraj Kumar**, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Punpun **P.S. Case No. 172/2026** for the offence **U/s 126(2), 109(1), 351(2), 352, 3(5) BNS and 27 of Arms Act**, which is pending in the court of the S.D.J.M., Masaurhi is being pressed today and heard.

The case of the prosecution as per written report given by Shamsheer Prasad Singh, is that in the evening of 28.05.2026, there was a minor scuffle with some boys of Jalalpur which was pacified by the villagers. Again at about 10 pm, Chhotu Kumar @ Guru Prakash, Gautam Kumar, Sunny Kumar, Neeraj Kumar, Riti Kumar, Suraj Kumar, Dhanraj Kumar, Ravindra paswan, Avishesh Kumar, Rohit Kumar, all came to his house with pistols, country-made pistols and sharp weapons and fired shots with the intention of killing him and they abused, threatened him and ran away.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has not moved earlier any anticipatory or regular bail petition either before this court or before the Hon'ble Court. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of the bail petition. Petitioner has falsely been implicated and has not committed any offence. The petitioner and the informant are of the same village and the case has been lodged due to dispute among the children and the informant had fired and thereafter gave information to the police and a cartridge was

recovered. Prayer is made to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

On the other hand the learned Addl. P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Heard and perused the case diary. Para 34 of the case diary shows that the petitioner was present at the place of occurrence as revealed by the video provided by the locals. The search cum seizure list shows that one cartridge was recovered near the house of Shamsher Singh. As para 3 of the bail petition there is no criminal antecedent of the petitioner. As per 34 of the case diary, the petitioner Abhishek Kumar was seen with a pistol, who is not petitioner herein. As far as the petitioner is concerned, the Case diary in 34 does not reveal that he was carrying any arms.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, it is ordered that in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from this order, above named accused-petitioner shall be directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 & 484 of the BNSS. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application is hereby disposed off.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Sankash Chandra)
(Addl. Sessions Judge-I),
Masaurhi