

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Masaurhi
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 240/2026
[Dhanarua P.S.Case No.409/2025]

1. **Luvkush Kumar**, aged about 19 years S/o- Shailendra Paswan,
R/o-Village-Vijawar, P.S-Dhanarua, District- Patna.

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Addl. Public Prosecutor

..... **Opposite Party**

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 of BNSS

For the petitioner/s : Mr. Lokesh Kumar, Advocate

For the O.P. State : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Addl. P.P.

ORDER

Dated:28.07.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner **Luvkush Kumar** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Dhanarua P.S. Case No.409/2025** for offence punishable **U/ss 305, 331(4) of BNS**, which is pending in the court of the S.D.J.M., Masaurhi is being pressed and heard today.

The case of the prosecution as per the written information given by Santosh Kumar is that on 19.06.2025, in night, some thieves entered his house and committed theft of a Vivo make mobile (V 30) in which the Jio SIM was fixed bearing no. 7781847532. The IMIE number of mobile was 863718061253657/863718061253640. The thieves also took away Rs. 30000 from a box kept at house.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has not earlier moved her bail petition either before this court or before the Hon'ble Court. Petitioner has no previous criminal antecedent as mentioned in bail application. Petitioner is quite innocent and has been falsely and wrongly implicated in this case. The FIR has been registered against the unknown. The anticipatory bail application of Rahul Kumar, another co-accused in the said case bearing Application no. 398/2025 dt 08.09.2025 has been granted by the Court of Additional District and Sessions Judge-I. Prayer is made to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

On the other hand the learned Addl. P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Heard both sides and perused the case diary. During the course of investigation one Sonu Kewat was arrested and his confessional statement was recorded. The aforesaid mobile was recovered from the house of one Jitu. On the basis of confessional statement of Sonu Kewat, he has also disclosed the complicity of petitioner. Perusal of TCR reveals that chargesheet has been submitted against four other co-accused whereas the case is also found true against the petitioner but the petitioner has been absconding since long. As such supplementary investigation is pending against the petitioner. The petitioner has been absconding since long.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this is not apt case to grant privilege of anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner, hence his prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby **Rejected**. Accordingly, aforesaid bail petition is hereby disposed off.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Sankash Chandra)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I,
Masaurhi