

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 109/2026

Punpun P.S Case No.- 03/2026

Dated: 06.06.2026

Subham Kumar

Applicant

Vs

State of Bihar.....

Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed on the behalf of Accused Applicant Subham Kumar along with fresh power by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner Shri Kumud Ranjan Ray. Copy of the petition is served on Ld. APP for the state.

The above name accused petitioners are named in Punpun P.S Case- 03/2026 for offenses U/S 137 of BNS. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant, Asharfi Kumar, states that his daughter Suhani Kumari, had been missing since 03.01.2026 at about 12:00 AM. Despite making efforts to trace her whereabouts, he could not locate her. Subsequently, he received information that Ashu Kumar and Subham Kumar were involved in the kidnapping of Suhani Kumari. It is further alleged that Asharafi Kumar's mobile number (8757449506) and Subham Kumar's mobile no. (7070661809) were used to communicate with Suhani Kumari.

Ld. Counsel for the Petitioners submits that the applicant has not previously filed any anticipatory or regular bail petition. The applicants have no criminal history. There is no chance of absconding of the petitioner or tampering with evidence. The petitioner is ready to obey all the direction of the court. On the other hand Ld. APP for the state has opposed the prayer the bail.

Heard both sides. Original PS Case Record has been called for which is received. Perused the case record and case diary. Petitioner is facing allegation of kidnapping a minor girl. Statement of victim girl u/s 183 BNSS is recorded in which victim has stated that she has married the petitioner. But, a copy of certificate of the Earth Public School, Punpun regarding age of victim girl is on record shows that her date of birth is 09.02.2011. The petitioner is main accused of this case. The case against the

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 109/2026

Punpun P.S Case No.- 03/2026

Dated: 06.06.2026

petitioner is under investigation.

Considering the above facts, circumstances, and long absence despite knowledge of case and order, this court is not inclined to enlarge the accused petitioner Subham Kumar again on anticipatory bail. Therefore, the prayer of anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioner is rejected. The anticipatory bail petition of the above named accused is dismissed.

Dictated and Corrected

Sd-

Sanjeev Kumar-I

Additional Sessions Judge-II

Masaurhi, Patna